




# CONTACT

## THE PHOENIX JOURNAL

### Y2K—THE NEW MILLENNIUM

*KNOWING TRUTH IS NOT ENOUGH,  
SUCCESSFUL CHANGE REQUIRES ACTION*



VOLUME 26, NUMBER 6

NEWS REVIEW

\$ 3.00

NOVEMBER 29, 1999

# Oxygen-Saving Power Can Salvage Humanity

11/23/99—#1

## POWER FOR THE NEW MILLENNIUM?

**Hatonn**—Possibilities are quite unlimited, readers, and of course, as we consider some of the possibilities, we find political limitations which are quite difficult to identify in a specific manner. Why does this become important, since, obviously, there are still supplies of hydrocarbons available for fuel use? Because you are **KILLING** yourselves through displacement or absorption of oxygen by pollutants. Furthermore, you are not close enough for direct use of solar energy or simple propulsion systems. Those are available but are not allowed by the oil/banking interests to be utilized.

There are other simple methods of propulsion fuel in simple water, with even more simple makeup of composite isotopes. I have no intention of giving a chemistry/physics lesson here in this writing, for I neither wish to give further information for “misuse” nor to take the time.

One of the major items which presents possibilities is

a product which is available in quite remote reaches of your global structure, and one isotope most under consideration is that of “deuterium”. Deuterium is a naturally occurring heavy isotope of hydrogen, mass number 2 (one proton and one neutron). It was discovered by Harold Urey in 1932. In nature, about one in every 6,500 hydrogen atoms is deuterium. The symbol D is sometimes used for it. Combined with oxygen, it produces “heavy water” (D<sub>2</sub>O), used in the nuclear industry. In science, you will hear it referred to as “deuteron”, which is the nucleus of an atom of deuterium (heavy hydrogen). It consists of one proton and one neutron, and is used in the bombardment of chemical elements to **SYNTHESIZE** other elements. This is extremely important, for you must always consider synthesization vs. naturally occurring elements and isotopes.

And no, if used in an enclosed circuit properly structured for use as a fuel, it is not “lost” but can be recycled indefinitely, with production of no **POLLUTANTS** into the atmosphere.

Let us take this little definitive bit of information a bit further, please, and define “heavy water”, because that is the relative discussion in point.

“Heavy water” (deuterium

oxide, D<sub>2</sub>O): water containing the isotope deuterium instead of hydrogen (relative molecular mass 20 as opposed to 18 for ordinary water).

Its chemical properties are identical with those of ordinary water, while its physical properties differ slightly. It occurs in ordinary water in the ratio of about one part of mass of deuterium to 5,000 parts by mass of hydrogen and can be concentrated by **ELECTROLYSIS**; the ordinary water being more readily decomposed by this means than the heavy water. In the nuclear industry, it is used as a **MODERATOR** to reduce the speed of high-energy neutrons.

**DO NOT EVER ERR IN CONSIDERING THIS TO BE A “HEAVY METAL”.**

Frankly, it is in discussions of this type where it would be most helpful to have been able to maintain a working relationship with Dr. Ed Young. He is **AN EXCEPTIONALLY QUALIFIED PHYSICIST**. It is very sad, indeed, when diversions come which distract a man from his purpose. Editing a paper is **NOT** a worthy use of Dr. Young’s talents, or of one who understands chemicals, as does Latona. Sometimes it happens that a **MAN’S**

(Continued on page 2)

CONTACT  
P.O. Box 27800  
Las Vegas, NV 89126

PRE-SORTED  
FIRST-CLASS MAIL  
U.S. POSTAGE PAID  
MOJAVE, CA 93501  
PERMIT NO. 110

**FIRST CLASS MAIL**

## ALSO IN THIS ISSUE

- Rope Is As Strong As Its Strongest Strand.....page 3
- You Will Create What You Choose.....page 6
- The Immaculate Deception—The Bush Crime Family Exposed*, by R.S. Bowen.....page 11
- President Kennedy, The Federal Reserve And Executive Order 11110.....page 13
- The News Desk*, by John Ray.....page 15

PURPOSE IS NEVER ABLY IDENTIFIED IN TIME TO SALVAGE A PHYSICAL LIFE JOURNEY TO THE PURPOSE FROM THE DISTRACTIONS. Since this is the most important one focus on the globe today, is it not obvious why the Adversary (let's just call it Satan) would cause such a rift as to render Dr. Young unapproachable for this consideration?

Yes, I DO suggest you make this available to Dr. Young and Mr. Latona. Is the silly confrontation over "papers" truly important enough to miss the very purpose of your physical journeys? And yes, if GAIA decides to participate in even the reserve security for such an undertaking, WE WILL DEMAND TOTAL TRANSPARENCY TO ENSURE NO INVOLVEMENT WITH THAT WHICH IS BOUND TO BE IMPACTED WITH TREACHERY. It is truly time to get out of the silly kid-games and join the push to SAVE the very lives of humankind. As is, you are headed, as a planet, for inability to sustain life—and to find backup for this statement: LOOK AROUND YOUR SOLAR SYSTEM—AND YOU CAN EVEN ASK YOUR MAYAN SHAMAN.

Ah, but why this discussion? Because it is relevant and immediate to our opportunities in Southeast Asia, as has now been dumped onto the heads of Ekkers in Manila.

At either end of the Philippine Trench (the deepest ocean on the globe) there are pools of "heavy water" (deuterium, if you will).

There is a wish for the "mining" or reclamation of this deuterium FOR HUMANITARIAN AND PEACEFUL PURPOSES.

There is NO intention of GAIA to be involved in the project itself and, at this point, there is no relationship AT ALL with any such project. However, there has been a contact made for possibly using reserves, which would fund bankers who would put up the massive funds necessary for such operations.

It is obvious why they would wish to do business in this manner: The banks are owned by the same parties who own the world's oil reserves, so the same basic people would then control the major resources for energy production.

Handled properly, it could literally save your lives, as in "human species", because you are killing yourselves with lack of oxygen. So, is this a rock or a hard place?

To avoid any possibility of misunderstandings regarding purpose or possible participation, all ramifications MUST BE ATTENDED—FIRST. In this instance, the very political treaties must be absolutely defined, so there is no ability for "sting" operations, which require arguments "later" as to actual definition of items in the treaties.

After WW II, there were mandatory regulations placed on many nations, i.e., Japan, Germany, Philippines, etc. In the Philippines, as the U.S. took control of same, there were some pretty heavy agreements to NOT deal in any nuclear war projects, etc. And, since heavy water "COULD" be utilized in the control of reactions in nuclear materials, they shouldn't, but could, be construed to include heavy water or deuterium isotopes.

This DOES NOT have effect on GAIA, as such, for we would not be involved as a participant in the actual projects which would come from any of our sustained agreements, but we must make very, very sure of what this does involve in a "slopover" possibility.

Yes, indeed, we CAN participate, if and when we demand that ONLY UNDER OUR PRIOR AGREEMENTS—AS STATED IN PUBLIC NOTICES—will we have any participation AT ALL.

There are many other places on the globe, in the seas, where there are other deposits of deuterium, but it is

obvious that the pressure at the depths of these deep trenches causes the phenomenon in the first place. And yes, there are other places, but you are NOT able to access those without far superior technology than is available to you at present, for you have no access to assessing the contents of "water" from these sites.

Is this just a "ploy" to somehow entrap "us"? NO. It could be used for same, but no, it isn't, but every last "i" shall be dotted prior to further negotiations or participation of ANY of our people.

Our participation in any and all projects through GAIA is fully outlined, and agreed upon and published in public notices. We do not intend to, nor shall we, violate any portion of said agreements. We would, however, be quite pleased to participate in something that allows life-sustaining progress to become a reality. THAT, in itself, is always a fact of God's free-will expression, as is YOUR CHOICE. We would also be free to allow some financial flow into such projects, for humanitarian purposes only, from our ability to obtain loans against the gold collateral in place.

Intelligence Agency "Bond" games are not going to be a consideration, gentlemen and ladies of the governments and underworld. We do not intend to play in your nonsensical games. God is providing the "way" in which you CAN, if you choose, SAVE YOUR VERY LIVES IN HUMAN PHYSICAL FORMAT. You cannot live without oxygen, and you are headed dead-on to that event—death of a species by suffocation.

Serious? Yes, just as SERIOUS as you can possibly get and still breathe.

One of the biggest potential horrors that you might wish to consider regarding "oxygen use-up" is nuclear warfare. Unleashed bombs will suck up just about all the available oxygen supply in any given affected area hit. Indeed, it is a very serious dilemma for you "inquiring" minds. And, if you wish to further consider possibilities, perhaps Dr. Young would be kind enough to write a short dissertation on the topic and share it.

And yes, THIS is why I stated long ago, in this harangue between teammates, that I did not wish to lose

either Dr. Young or Mr. Latona—it had NOTHING to do with "receiving", "etheric critique" or anything so astrally far-fetched. Science has no justification to base itself on "mystical potentials". This does not mean that scientists are not Spiritual in every possible way—it is time to integrate the two REALITIES OF SPIRITUAL "SCIENCE". I DID NOT SAY, SCIENCE OF THE SPIRITUAL. "Spiritual Science" is an oxymoron. You are, however, to the point of being able to now scientifically PROVE spiritual REALITY. This does, however, mean you have to set aside the "MYSTIC" approach and get totally with the "MYSTERY" of SPIRITUAL REALITY. In other words, you MUST move beyond the mysticism of "magic" and into the reality of CREATION.

Some of "you" have simply gotten distracted and lost your way "temporarily" or, possibly, permanently for this particular experience this time around. That, of course, is your business and can be left, as is, in separation and stagnation or, with considerations beyond the ego-moment, you can reestablish relationships. Again, the choice is YOURS, individual.

Ekkers: Continue to get all facts and, no, we do not need either above-named party to proceed or shut down all contacts with the project parties. I always give my people opportunities to have input enough to make decisions in WISDOM, before the opportunities are severed and offered to another or others. We can proceed, and the insistence is that you get the information, take it, and study it carefully, so there can be no "false claims" about either intent or actions. Thank you.

I believe, Dharma, that you have your hands full this morning, so let us leave this for your further consideration. My full intent is to secure your safety. This project requires more attention than a tree farm. However, it shouldn't, for it is not your business, except that it has been foisted off into your attention and once "known" you cannot again "not know".

And, keep your OxySol handy, for I do remind you that oxygen is nice! NEVER underestimate God and Hosts!

Salu, Aton

**dharma**



# Rope Is As Strong As Its Strongest Strands

11/24/99—#1

## CAN YOU HOLD FAITH UNDER STRESS?

**Hatonn**—Often, chelas, you cannot “seem” to be standing in strength of truth and faith as the things of conscious presentations are pecking away at you. Remember, that old thing: **Life is what happens while you are making other plans.** Now, I am going to give you something a bit more realistic in PERSPECTIVE AND PERCEPTION: PLANS ARE BEING CREATED IN PERFECTION, IF THEY BE POSITIVE IN GOODLY INTENT—WHILE YOU ARE EXPERIENCING THE ILLUSIONS ONE UPON ANOTHER IN YOUR CONSCIOUSNESS OF THAT WHICH SURROUNDS YOU.

HOW YOU HANDLE THE “CONSCIOUS” MANDATES MAY WELL PRESENT AS THAT WHICH IS CONSTANT AND ALL-CONSUMING. IT IS NOT! THE “REALITY” IS THAT WHICH IS BEING ESTABLISHED AND CREATED “IN REALITY”. This is “outside” the games people play.

The “consciousness” is hit by every passing piece of flak that flings its way upon you. The seemingly negative things are those which strike.

Doris inputs: Often the “excuse” is that “Sir, I am such a frail reed upon which to build your foundation of change.”

No, that is not so and let me tell you why it is not so. I shall find need of examples here: Let us assume for a minute that Doris is but a frail reed—then, isn’t it wondrous that God does not build his reality upon ONE reed? And, let us suppose further that she is THE reed which allows the flow of oxygen and nourishment for the BUNDLE of reeds of which I shall speak. Do you begin to see HOW we are all ONE in the REALITY AND REALIZATION OF CREATOR? How many tubes does the human have betwixt lips and stomach? How many tubes for air do you have betwixt nose and lungs? And, have you noticed when you put anything other than air through the tube ’twixt nose/mouth and lungs what happens? Yes, you nearly choke to death—or DO choke to death. You CAN put most anything in small enough quantities down the stomach tube—but even that can be a negative action.

So, back to the “reed”. Let us assume for practical example that EACH OF “YOU” ARE ONE REED IN THIS PLAY WE HAVE GOING. EACH REED ALONE MAY WELL “SEEM” A BIT FRAIL—IN THAT HUMAN CANNOT BE EVERYTHING TO EVERY OTHER THING OR BEING IN THE “PHYSICAL” EXPRESSION—AND THAT IS THE MYSTERY OF THIS MANIFESTATION PRESENTED FOR YOUR PARTICIPATION. “YOU” ACTUALLY HAVE NO “RIGHT” TO TAKE UNTO YOURSELF THAT WHICH IS ANOTHER’S. IN THIS REALIZATION YOU ARE MORE APT TO ALLOW OTHERS, YEA, EVEN DEMAND OF OTHERS, THAT THEY PRODUCE THEIR PORTION

OR GET OUT OF THE REED BUNDLE.

Next, let us consider the “single” reeds involved here, for some are acutely weak from whatever reason that might present. Realizing that, we do not overtax the weak reed but, rather, allow it to strengthen itself, for ONLY IT can actually strengthen itself. We can pray, hope and furnish that which it needs, but actually, until that weak reed decides and wants to be strong, it won’t. “Can’t” is not an issue here, “won’t” is the term of choice. Therefore, let us consider that we are building a “living” rope of the reed fibers. Even the weakest fiber can be woven within the mass to add strength, as to its own capability, at any given time. We can even allow for some strands of fiber to be removed entirely, without sacrificing the rope itself.

Therefore, if you have a thousand reeds forming the rope, each “frail” strand can FEEL weak in inabilities, but the ROPE is no less strong. Why? Because of what I first offered here—the FRAILTY is only recognized in THE CONSCIOUS ILLUSION, IMPACTED BY WHATEVER MIGHT BE TAKING PLACE. THE ROPE IS HOLDING STRONG, FOR YOU HAVE BUILT UPON THE VERY FAITH AND “KNOWING” OF THE STRONGER REEDS AND, THEREFORE, WHEN THEY FEEL WEAK AND INSECURE, YOU SUPPORT ONE ANOTHER IN THE KNOWING OF THE “ONE”!

When the rope then finally decides to carry its UNLIMITED load/burden/GIFT, you will get rid of the parasites eating away at your outer WRAPPING. And, furthermore, you will weave LIGHT into the AURA of the rope itself, within which the parasites cannot survive. For instance, moths do not much bother a piece of nylon. They eat and feed off the natural fibers of wool, flax, cotton, etc. Wool is especially wondrous to a clothes moth. And, there is A PLACE, you see, for both kinds of cloth or rope. Note what mice do as they, like the birds, only use synthetic fibers to build such as their nests. There is, however, no nourishment for living bodies from a hydrocarbon strand of rayon or nylon. However, you must realize that there are living organisms that actually CAN and DO feed off these things considered “artificial”. Nothing, however, is actually artificial, for whatever is manufactured is composed of that which is already in existence, and that means a “compiled by man” substance—FROM that which is available in its basic form, as “natural”.

Unlike a “chain”, which is only as strong as its weakest link, a rope is only somewhat diminished by a weak strand or two and will usually hold according to its STRONGEST strands. If that rope is composed of the human MIND in CREATIVE intent OF GOD, the rope has no limitation at all, because it becomes as strong as it is instructed to be—by creative mind, WITH GOD CREATOR.

The things of living in consciousness are but perturbations, sometimes perceived as good and sometimes as negative—but they are truly ONLY GOOD. And always, to each reed, each “wobble” is

representing a different perception.

What you may find as wondrously good is going to present—to your opponent, enemy or adversary—as very bad, indeed.

For example: You have heart trouble and it is down to the final few breaths, but it is realized that—if you can get ONE LITTLE PILL of, say, nitroglycerine—you will be saved within the hour. If the adversary can keep you from getting the nitro, they consider that they have won. If you get the nitro and use it, you live and win. Is it starting to make sense here? IF YOUR INTENT IS TO LIVE, YOU MAY WELL FIND A WAY TO ACCOMPLISH THAT WITHOUT THE NITRO, OR GET THE NITRO—OR EVEN MAKE PASSAGE. THE LATTER ONLY DESTROYS THE ADVERSARY, FOR YOU WILL HAVE BECOME THE ONE THING THAT HE CANNOT BEAR—LIGHT. If YOU do not lose your intent within Creator, you will find the way, for GOD WINS. THE WAR IS OVER, FRIENDS, only the battlefield is still littered with the nerdniks. They have lost and they KNOW they have lost, but ego will not release them in order, even, that they might “survive”. AND YES, INDEED, “YOU” HAD TO WORK THROUGH THESE THINGS, BECAUSE YOU MUST REMEMBER, YOU MUST EXPERIENCE, AND YOU MUST CHOOSE THE RIGHT FIBERS FOR THE FUNDAMENTAL, BASIC STRENGTH OF THE ROPE, ITSELF. ALL THE FIBERS WILL THEN BECOME AS STRONG AS THE STRONGEST—SERVING EACH IN THEIR OWN WAY. THE TRULY BROKEN STRANDS ARE NOT NECESSARILY USELESS, BUT THEY WON’T MAKE UP THE ROPE UPON WHICH CREATOR CREATES, WITH YOU, THE STABILITY AND BALANCE OF A WHOLE, LIVING PLANET MADE UP OF HIS (YOUR) CREATIONS.

The TRUTH of GOD CREATOR “IS”, and it is that you as MAN are given a couple of things which are as nearly “permanent” as you can get to such a thing: freedom of choice in “will” and eternal LIFE of SOUL. If, therefore, you CHOOSE creative “goodness” as your chosen way—and ALWAYS continue in those choices and have intent of being ONE SOUL WITHIN CREATOR—you win, for there is NO WAY that you can possibly lose the battle of, example, “good vs. evil” (just a generalized expression). Do you see that EVIL is a negative concept, just as is Satan, and you actually allow both to survive and develop from your ALLOWANCES AS CREATOR? When you are through with those experiences and desire to move beyond those negative concepts, you will do so. However, remember something: Once you discard the “evil sins” (distracting errors and persons), you will have less to balance in RELATIVE expression and realization.

To, literally, keep moving “forward” is to mandate experiences that allow relativity of perceptions. As each negative thing, act or thought impacts you—you learn to deal with it and choose the positive direction, while checking out the pitfalls and negative possibilities, and through that thought processing you will PRODUCE THE THOUGHT THAT WILL MANIFEST INTO REALITY FOR THE NEXT, AND THE NEXT, STEP FORWARD.

You, as in “EACH”, may find it trying to soul and consciousness to simply make a next step, or to stay and wait for clarity of seeing and taking another step. Surely, you do not want—if your intent is toward creation of the perfection of any goal—to do anything to cut the rope. However—and here is where it gets

tedious—the rope has NO LEVERAGE if it is simply holding something. The ones who are attached to that rope must PULL (pushing won't work) and, if the load is heavy, the task may well be requiring great purpose in that pulling.

YES, INDEED, YOU MUST CONSIDER ALL THE NEGATIVES BUT, WHILE DOING SO, DO SO IN REALIZATION OF KNOWING. WHAT DO YOU ACTUALLY KNOW? IF YOU “KNOW” GOD-YOUR-CREATOR-LIGHT AND TRUTH SHALL PREVAIL, THE SLINGS-AND-ARROWS ARE DEFLECTED, AND ONLY THE OUTER “SKIN”, IF YOU WILL, IS DAMAGED AND EVEN THAT IS NOT DAMAGED EXCEPT TO THE CONSCIOUSNESS. FROM THOSE THINGS YOU NOT ONLY CAN RECOVER BUT YOUR SHIELD OF “SKIN” (LIGHT) IS ONLY STRENGTHENED AND BRIGHTENED, AND YOU WILL REALIZE THAT THE ONLY IMPORTANT THING IS THE CREATIVE KNOWING “WITHIN” THE SUBCONSCIOUS AND THE SOUL.

### SUBCONSCIOUS

Now, I've done it; you now have to consider your “subconscious” being. The “programming” resides in that “subconscious” mind, which programs the human physical mind which, in turn, stores data in that organ called a “brain”. So, the subconscious MIND is as unique as your unique, one-of-a-kind SOUL, but no, it is NOT the soul. The subconscious mind will act on a given IDEA until that idea is somehow discarded and new instructions replace that which is discarded. The prior “idea” is not actually destroyed but is put away—so that in CONSCIOUSNESS you can use the new—but with always the temptation to return to the prior, and usually negative, idea.

This is WHY, if the MIND holds GOD, you cannot be REPROGRAMMED otherwise. You can be impacted, but that which RESIDES IN SOUL is that which shall prevail, ultimately. That “ultimately” may well ship over into numerous experiences. But, ultimately, a SOUL with total intent toward Creative goodness—will present (manifest) goodness. The human, however, in KNOWING, will not even attempt to create PERFECTION, for that is of PERFECTION, while human manifestation is a necessary part of the journey of soul in expressing choices, will and creative expectation. YOU WILL GET (DRAW UNTO YOU) THAT WHICH YOU EXPECT—no more and no less. If you truly EXPECT good news for your own perception, you will precipitate good news, for the mind will interpret ANY news as being GOOD NEWS and will ACT ON THAT ASSUMPTION. So, the circles come around: ALL NEWS IS GOOD NEWS. ALL THINGS ARE GOOD. ALL THINGS ARE “LOVE”. ALL THINGS ARE “TRUTH”. WHAT YOU DO WITH THESE THINGS IS THE ULTIMATE RECOGNITION OF GROWTH.

If, however, you try to build upon THE LIE, you will fail to produce a goodly product. To endure, a manifest object or building must be built on TRUTH. The LIE can only be MANIPULATED. Truth allows the BUILDING and CREATING. And, certainly, you must KNOW, the distractions will come at EVERY CHOICE. Remember, right here: Every BREATH is a choice.

When you say, “I can't do this...”, what you are really stating is “I don't WANT to do this, go through

this, experience this...”. Moreover, when you decide you TRULY DO NOT INTEND TO GO THROUGH MORE OF IT, YOU WILL STOP IT. YOU WILL CREATE A WAY SUITABLE FOR FURTHER GOING, STOP AND QUIT, OR ACCEPT THE GIFTS THAT ARE OFFERED TO THE “WHOLE” OF THE “PLAN” AND NEVER MIND THAT WHICH DISTRESSES YOU. IF IT IS LACK OF FUNDING, YOU WILL FIND A WAY TO BRIDGE THE GAP, OR LET IT GO WITHOUT BEING MANIPULATED INTO DOING SILLY THINGS JUST FOR THE COMFORT OF THE MOMENT. PERHAPS OTHERS NEED TO GROW AS WELL AS “YOU”! REMEMBER THAT GOD IS SORTING REEDS FOR INCLUSION IN HIS VARIOUS ROPES, CLEARING THE DEBRIS OUT OF THE WAY, CHECKING THE “STAYING” POWER OF HIS TEAMS—ALL THINGS OUTSIDE OF YOUR OWN “RIGHT” TO IMPACT OR SIMPLY “RELIEVE” PRESSURE. EVEN A STEAM BOILER WILL ONLY TAKE SO MUCH PRESSURE, AND THEN IT WILL BLOW, OR IT WILL GET CONTROL OF THE HEAT SOURCE. PRESSURES TEST YOUR “METAL”, SO YOUR METAL MUST BE STRONG ENOUGH TO WITHSTAND THE PRESSURE—OR NEVER MIND THE PRESSURE BY LETTING IT “BLOW OFF ITS OWN STEAM”.

If, for instance, you do not have funds and can't seem to acquire more, don't just let it lay—but whoever is demanding the funds must either cooperate, demand that which is unavailable and sever the relationship, or help you get what is needed. BLAMING anyone, judging someone or other, or getting nasty only presents “a thing”.

For instance, in Tehachapi, if the rent doesn't come in time for the landlords to be comfortable—what is the worst that can happen? The things are shifted—period and end of story. “Good business” is NOT going to be reflected by the destroyers as being ultimately even nice, much the less, good. All commitments will be met as able to do so and therein are the FACTS. It is not even worthy of thought to consider that good business, in our instance, is other than cooperation, at the least. We have reached and passed “critical mass” and gone beyond the “point of no return”. The ONLY way we can possibly lose is to lose our own faith and KNOWING, and do what the enemies always do—STOP and reverse into revenge, blame and judgment of scapegoating.

Realize that, even in Manila, the opportunities are building larger and greater, and becoming more and more SECURE with each passing moment. We ARE DOING IT RIGHT, and that brings protection of every kind, as you consider physical expression. Not the least of which is realizing that to “have” something of a worldly nature for “self”—only jeopardizes, totally, SELF. And when you stop playing the game of the adversary, you will find selves in total security—because it is far better for ALL that you be kept secure, safe and available.

Note, by looking around you: Those who have taken on US are NOT happy in any sense of any description of the term. Even if we seemingly HAVE NOTHING, there is recognized “promise” in the “potential” of everything we encounter—from house upsets to continuation of the enemies' antics. As they continue to BREAK THE LAW, the laws, therefore, become our ASSET. And, he who founded the wrong premises is standing forth like that proverbial sore thumb, with its bandages falling away. The culprit in the focus will further be uncovered to find that even the sore

thumb was of his/her own doing—perhaps by simply biting his own thumbnail into the quick, where it continued until infection of the entire body set in.

That ultimate acceptance of self-responsibility is also the ULTIMATE and most difficult realization. This also mandates that others joining the LIE, even in innocence, see and realize the FACTS as they ARE, or they remain forever within the LIE itself. And NO, God does NOT make the decisions and choices difficult. You simply choose to pronounce them difficult. Further, if you realize the “wrong choices”, it is up to you to choose that which you will do to either further the Lie or correct your course. This can only be accomplished, in either direction, by taking RESPONSIBILITY FOR SELF. You followed the lie? So, what STOPS you from correcting course into TRUTH?

People caught in this dilemma will usually pronounce: “Well, I don't want to hurt dear XXXX, because...”. Do you realize that “dear XXXX” serves Satan in the LIE? OK, take that one step further into TRUTH: If you continue to serve, allow, extend the power of the Lie, you are extending the very service unto Satan and evil, and DENYING THE PERFECTION OF GOD'S TRUE GIFT TO EVER REACH PERFECTION AND THAT GOAL YOU CLAIMED YOU WANTED. WHEN YOU CLAIM THE LIE—OVER TRUTH—YOU HAVE DELIBERATELY CHOSEN EVIL OVER GOOD, SATAN OVER GOD CREATOR. SATAN MANIPULATES AND DESTROYS (LOOK AROUND YOU, INCLUDING RIGHT UNDER YOUR NOSE AND IN YOUR FACE), WHILE GOD “ONLY” CREATES. ALL YOU CAN DO IN MEAN INTENT IS TO “APPEAR” TO DAMAGE. YOU HAVE ONLY, HOWEVER, ACTUALLY “DAMAGED” SELF.

Now, you who are so dead-on in intent to DESTROY Ekkers need to answer some inquiring-mind questions. WHY? WHO IS SERVED BY SUCH DESTRUCTION? But you want to “hurt” Ekkers? WHY? “WHO” IS SERVED?? Would it not have been better to HELP EKKERS EVERY WAY YOU COULD, TO BRING SUCCESS? OH, I see the TRUTH—YOU WANTED TO DESTROY THAT GHOSTLY CONSCIENCE CALLED “HATONN”? THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT I REPRESENT—CONSCIENCE, AS IN SOUL REALIZATION. KILL THE MESSENGER, AND YOU CAN DENY THE TRUTH OF THE MESSAGE? OH, INDEED, YES, THAT IS EXACTLY WHAT THIS GAME IS ABOUT. It comes right back down to “I bit my thumb, but I will infect everyone who comes into my space.” Well, the infection will kill SELF and those who attach within that premise—IT WILL NOT EVEN BEGIN TO INFECT THE ONES WHO DO NOT BUY INTO THE GAME.

I can, yes, give you a fine example of the parasitic actions. The ones with the sore thumbs decided to destroy those of righteous and Godly intent in Truth. This is nothing more or less than the onset of this “game” in FACT. To accomplish even attention, the LIES were sprinkled around and about liberally. Then a push and a shove took place, the lies got bigger, the tales got more and more great and, wham, people acted on the LIE. It is going to ultimately be found that even that title company, which held the sale, did so under FALSE information given TO THEM. So, what happens—to protect themselves from the “deep pockets” syndrome of modern legal encounters—is that they will also TURN on Millers for giving THEM false information, just as will Millers' lawyer. And where did the first

MISINFORMATION come from? Right!

Ah yes, and just WHAT can Millers get in justice, now? Well, since the whole of the *Spectrum* crew set forth and committed CRIMES in order to get such as funding and resources, does it look favorable for collecting damages from the Rainbow? There is no POT of anything at the ends of rainbows. Rainbows are formed by LIGHT passing through the prisms of water-droplet “crystals”, and you never CAN ACHIEVE THE EXACT END OF A RAINBOW, FOR IT MOVES WITH YOUR OWN PERCEPTION.

So, yes, friends and readers, this one scenario is an incredible learning opportunity. If you actually believe the fools, you become one with the fools! I AND GOD ARE ONE! YOU, GOD AND I ARE ONE! THEREFORE, GOD—BEING WISDOM, TRUTH, LOVE AND REALITY—PREVAILS IN THE ULTIMATE GAME OR “CURTAIN FALL”. The ones who have planted the lie, and functioned as if the lie were real, will fall. Where does that leave “you”? Well, it leaves Millers at a disadvantage, at best, win-lose-draw. But the others of “that” team and crew have been sucked into the mess, so that you are all stuck with the debris of the fallout.

Ah, but all of that team, crew or orchestrators—have nothing of worth in a worldly way (or spiritual way) and so, who loses in a big way, both materially and spiritually?—the one who foots the bill. IN OTHER WORDS, THE THIEVES AND LIARS DESTROYED MILLERS, WHO HAD MUCH TO LOSE, WHILE THE PERPETRATORS HAD NOTHING TO LOSE, FOR THEY ARE ALREADY PARASITES LIVING OFF THOSE WHO HAD MATERIAL SUBSTANCE.

So, what have Ekkers given? Well, 300 books of TRUTH and contributions to and birthing of the *CONTACT* newspaper (which is well-respected around the globe and used by the Powers-That-Be) to bring wondrous relief and change to a dying world. They are bringing—under the worst assaults known to mankind—that which allows mankind to recover and redirect his existence in the human, and yes, soul, experience. And they did it because of the working interchange with the other “fibers woven into the rope”, INCLUDING MILLERS.

I remind you: You have to really go out of your way to negate your participation within God’s creative participation. But, as the saying goes: There will be two in the field, and one shall be taken and the other left...”. If you do not believe that you will “receive”—you will make very SURE that you don’t. So, if I be God, which I AM, and you deny me—check your possibilities.

There is ONLY ONE, and therefore we are “it”. All else is but distraction in a physical illusion—left wide-open for your choices, will, manipulation or destruction. Evil does not CREATE, except perchance by slipping into definitions of Earth terms; the evil create the way to FALL—for themselves.

Can’t you begin to realize that by REMAINING WITHIN TRUTH, WITHIN GOD CREATOR, YOU HAVE NOTHING WHICH CAN BRING HARM, TRUE HARM, UNTO YOU? AND NO, INDEED, YOU OF GOD DO NOT “ALLOW” EVIL TO PREVAIL, FOR THAT IS WHAT DESTROYS. YOU DO NOT HAVE TO DESTROY OR “FIGHT” ANYTHING, FOR, WHEN TRUTH “OUTS”, THE LIE “OUTS” AND WILL NOT STAND.

Evil people are childishly immature entities playing in their pretend-world of illusion. KNOW that SATAN—if you wish to call the destroyer by that label, which is accepted and as good as any “handle”—is a spineless entity capable of DOING nothing—except controlling HUMAN,

WITHIN WHOM HE TAKES UP DWELLING AND EXISTENCE. OUTSIDE THAT SHELL, HE IS NOTHING! NOTHING! NOTHING! HE DOES NOT EVEN EXIST, EXCEPT THROUGH THE HUMAN ENTITY. HE HAS TO HAVE HUMAN HANDS TO DO HIS DIRTY WORKS. HE EXISTS IN FEAR AND DEATH. LIFE AND TRUTH ARE HIS ENEMY, BUT HE IS HELPLESS IN HIS OWN INABILITY TO FUNCTION. SATAN MUST WORK AND EXIST THROUGH HUMAN BEINGS, AND YOU CAN’T EVEN SLUFF OFF “THROUGH ANIMALS” IN THAT CATEGORY. “THROUGH CONSCIOUS MAN” IS THE ONLY CHANCE OF EVIL EXPRESSION. ANIMALS MAY BE MEAN AND NASTY AND, YEA, EVEN KILLERS, BUT THEY ARE NOT EVIL.

If YOU, therefore, do not “believe” we are of God, then you NEVER BELIEVED WE WERE OF GOD. You don’t “know” something and then change your mind the minute you get scared. And oh, yes, indeed, you start praying—FOR SELF—that you don’t “lose”, etc. What you are actually doing is structuring your “mandatory thrust” to “lose”, lest you be found out to be a fraud. GOD DOES NOT CHANGE—PEOPLE CHANGE CONSTANTLY. So, if you believe us to be fakes and frauds—you are the fake and fraud—for you either lie now or lied “then”, when you said you “believed”. NOBODY TWISTED YOUR LITTLE ARMS OR LEGS, THREATENED OR COERCED. NONE OF THOSE THINGS ARE AVAILABLE TO YOU AS A CRUTCH NOW, IN YOUR ILL-CHOICES. YOU PARTICIPATED IN EVERYTHING IN FULL KNOWING OF HOW IT WOULD WORK, OR YOU SIGNED ON WHILE LYING TO THOSE WHO TRUSTED YOU. YOU CANNOT CHANGE THE RULES AS YOU CHOOSE, EVERY MORNING.

I ask the team to get copies of the video of the *EXTRA* series and the last presentations regarding the farm. It was told to Ekkers in Manila, by the lady doing the television programs, that Betty Tuten was behind both. Make sure that Brad has copies, so he has them for use with the Las Vegas case. It is time to close that thing down, and nobody has suggested Betty not getting repaid. But we do not pay the damages inflicted against us. There are many public, international lies, plus slander of the Ekkers, the Institute and YOU who live in that area. Perhaps it is time to negotiate with lawyers. By the new show-and-tell at the farm, etc., the first lost its shelter of limitation statutes—the minute the lady did it AGAIN. This time, she brought even more WITH HER, willingly, and just as nasty in intent. I wonder how Millers now feel to have that cult AND the “compound”? Sure takes the onerous focus off us, doesn’t it?

“Oh, but it isn’t like that...” the participants say. OH, tell us about it! WE DID NOT—NOR DO WE—HAVE ANYTHING TO HIDE. YOU CULTISTS, WITH THAT BIG “LUXURIOUS” COMPOUND, HAVE STOLEN THINGS AND, IN THE NEXT PUBLIC DISPLAY, IT WILL BE REVEALED IN ITS UGLY, FACTUAL TRUTH. And, if Millers gave you, who stole and ran with the “loot” you took, permission to do so (which of course they did not), they erred greatly, for legal action had already been noted between legal counsel to STOP the insanity. So, everything that has come “after”, which is everything rotten, is going to be accounted for. Evil always bears a sign, and evil actions bear clues—the idiots who are the “watchers” and the ones who live in More to Follow tell the whole tale, don’t they?

There are no atheists in foxholes and, since there is really only ONE “God”, then whom do they pray to? Well, they pray, OK, that they be somehow left “covered” and

somehow “recover” without having to disclose themselves and, further, that they not have to make restitution or TAKE RESPONSIBILITY. They are truly people of the Lie, continuing—even in their prayers of salvation—IN THE LIE.

Why, though, do we not get on with everything “we want”? Because you have asked that this all be accomplished according to the higher insight and wisdom of GOD, and so shall it be. We need to gather our team, and let me assure you that we, as Hosts, DO know what we are doing.

You have worked without much physical confirmation, but we have done quite a number or two on some of our new team players, in the considered “past”. We have plucked players right out of planes and, yes, they KNEW of the experience but have “forgotten” the “visit”. We did those things in a very physically visible way, so that it could not be questioned as to being “real” but, as always with this kind of experience, there is “time” missing. Even the missing “time” is rarely noted in the experience of the moment. WE WILL HAVE THE PROPER TEAM TO GET THIS JOB DONE, AND YET, THESE PLAYERS MUST ALSO RECOGNIZE THE MESSENGERS AND COME TO RECOGNIZE THEIR PLACE IN THE PLAN.

These are delightful topics, and reaction to the incidents will usually be expressed as rather “ho hum” by the intended contactees. There will usually be a follow-on of news articles, inquiry and hoopla, if you will, but THAT IS TO GIVE REALITY TO THE ONES WITH WHOM WE MADE CONTACT. The others “aboard” will only experience immobilization and a few reminders for reference, then be set right on to their original destination. It happens all the time, and you have all and each experienced it, in memory or not. Even the ones who “fell off the wagon” experience the TRUTH of it—but God does not interfere with FREE WILL.

God always gives opportunity to change, right to the very next need for decided activities for the program, itself. Few who have dropped away will have ego-control enough to see and hear—or they wouldn’t be in the distancing mode in the first place.

These are the lectures you MUST get, people, for this is the way it IS. You can do whatever you choose about your own way and expression—but OUR WAY IS GOING TO BE THIS WAY and that, too, is the way it IS. Others in GOD’S PLAN have a right to experience and demand truth and total excellence from US—every time! This does not mean “some of the time” or “a bit, if I feel like it”—ALL THE TIME! You do not do things that hurt your own program, chelas, without dire repercussions upon SELF. A rope can be used for holding strong a lifesaving load—OR it can be used for hanging. The choices are yours!

So, the rope between me and thee has never been stronger and, therefore, even in your disappointments or seemingly negative blips—KNOW TRUTH—for “change” is the only “permanent” thing in your experience. God is permanent—as in “always” and “all ways”—but He is ever changing in his radiation of LIFE. This may well be one of those “Divine Dichotomies” but—when you realize the truth of it—REJOICE, for He is ever changing to meet YOUR NEEDS.

It is with absolute love that we close this. We accept no negative circumstances, for every lesson, every circumstance CAN only be positive in its very existence.

Salu, Father Aton

**dharma**

# You Will Create What You Choose

11/28/99—#1

## LET'S TALK A LITTLE ABOUT THIS "AWFUL" MARCOS

**Hatonn**—Ferdinand Marcos, saint or sinner? Well, now, of course he was a "sinner"—isn't everyone? And might it not be wise to assess your own status before judging another? You don't have any REAL facts upon which to "judge" anyone, and more especially Ferdinand Edralin Marcos. And no, this is not going to run into a lengthy scraping and bowing to this man, or any man.

I will tell you, however, that this is a SPECIAL man—good or bad in conclusion of judgment—a VERY SPECIAL PERSON.

It is said that Marcos was superstitious. Well, who of you is NOT superstitious? Be careful how you answer that for—if you have a conscience—you ARE "superstitious" if you feel that what you send forth comes back. I do not here speak of walking under ladders or black cats running in your pathway. For instance, if Ed Young, et al. were NOT superstitious, they would not use writers such as Oracle or any other "remote viewer". **RELIGIONS ARE BUILT ON SUPERSTITION AND MAGIC.** This is recognized from the icons used, even the lighting of incense or candles, and the very myths themselves. (A "myth" is a perfectly good word, for it merely means a long-standing story, which may or may not be true but certainly is covered in speculation.)

I can tell you a few things, which you can ponder on any way you choose—and yes, regarding Marcos, specifically.

## TRUTH IN A STRANGE FASHION

Marcos was TOLD, specifically, and it was put into writing by a group who "KNOWS", but I will leave unnamed, that HE WOULD BE THE VEHICLE FOR THE SECOND COMING OF CHRIST. Note, please, that this does not and did not say the "second coming of Jesus".

## EL DORADO

I will also tell you that the wealth accumulated by Marcos was from that which was left on your Globe for use in this day at the "Second (wow, what a bit of misinformation) arrival of Christ".

Now what does THAT mean? Well, lots of things, but we will not "jump the gun" by stating that which will simply be taken out of context, scattered hither and yon INCORRECTLY and then, becoming itself a myth, have no value at all.

To precede any speculation about Y2K, let it be known that the realization of an "awakening" is probably more consummate in the Southeast Asian sector of the globe than anywhere else. Why? Because God said it would be so.

In looking back at our first-presented statement about Marcos being a vehicle, it means EXACTLY THAT. As a large part or a small part, perceived good or bad, HE IS

A VERY DOMINANT PART OF THE WHOLE UNFOLDING PLAY. Therefore, please do NOT get hung up on names, labels, who did what to whom and thus-and-so.

Why, for instance, do you think the very worst of the "New World Order" evil grabbers were focused on the Philippines and actually destroyed the very value base of same? Indeed, they wanted the assets—BUT THEY KNEW THIS WOULD BE WHERE THE "RE-COMING", IF YOU WILL, WOULD PRESENT FIRST. OR DID YOU THINK IT WOULD BE AT KING SOLOMON'S TEMPLE OR THE MOSQUE IN DOWNTOWN NEW YORK CITY, U.S.A.?

Why do you think that every adversarial, two-legged, self-centered Son of Satan (SOS) has turned like a vicious dragon against me and my people in trying to destroy, devastate and deny (DDD)?

Every night now, in the Philippines, the show gets better and better, as the craft get closer and closer and the strobe lights, in Christmas-tree colors, decorate the sky. WE ARE GETTING, INDEED, VERY, VERY CLOSE TO REALIZATION OF SOMETHING BIG COMING DOWN.

Whatever happened in those days recently of "Marcos" was necessary for recognition TODAY. And it is becoming quite obvious WHO was behind every criminal act brought forth upon your Earth. Marcos killed no one. And, you will find that the SAME corrupt people are STILL corrupt, and even more so, AND STILL CONTROL THE GOVERNMENT, THE SOCIETY, THE ECONOMY AND THE VERY LIFE-SUBSTANCE OF THE PEOPLE. Well, I would guess that that might be about to change somewhat as they, too, must realize that hope for survival rests in CHANGING COURSE—and doing so quickly and NOW—or they go down of their own volition.

Do I need to enlarge on either the story or the players? No, it has all been said and all been done, and this is NOT the "second" coming of Christ—this is "infinite" coming of Christ. THIS TIME, HOWEVER, YOU WILL "KNOW" IT, AND YOU WILL RECOGNIZE THAT SATAN'S DAYS ARE NUMBERED, AS HE HAS SET HIMSELF UP TO RULE. YOU WILL HAVE "AN OPPORTUNITY" TO MAKE THIS TIME "DIFFERENT" AND SET A COURSE TOWARD RIGHTEOUSNESS, FOR GOD LOVES ABSOLUTELY, EVEN THE LEAST OF ANY ONE OF HIS CREATIONS. AND YES, IN YOUR "PLACEMENT" OR STATUS LINE-UP, IT CERTAINLY DOES MATTER IF YOU HAVE BEEN "NAUGHTY OR NICE".

People become outraged, as they should, when such as Rick Martin-Cortright and Patrick Bailey (Bellringer) end up serving their denunciation of myself and my people to the world—for they have PRESENTED LIES. LIES ARE GOING TO BE SET TO TRUTH AND, THEREFORE, IT BODES BADLY, INDEED, FOR THE LIARS, THE CHEATS, THE CRIMINALS, THE CHEATERS AND THE THIEVES. IT IS GOING TO BODE BADLY, AS WELL, FOR THOSE WHO PRESENT THESE THINGS TO HUMOR THE SATANIC DEMONS WHICH RESIDE WITHIN SAME.

Oh, YOU didn't think this would be so close to home, or that you would be worthy to play or labor in the inner realization of "THAT SORT OF BIG CHANGE"? WHY? WHY DO YOU FEEL THIS WAY? GOOD OR BAD, YOU ARE A PART OF THE UNFOLDING SCRIPT.

Is a Rick Martin somehow less loved by God Creator? NO. God ABSOLUTELY loves Ricky, but that does not mean that Satan does not dwell "absolutely" within Ricky (mind and soul). Do I make a projection about the stance of one, Rick Martin? NO, I simply OBSERVE ACTIONS, SPEAKINGS, ACCUSATIONS AND THUS-AND-SO.

Rick Martin-Cortright thought he was simply spreading his venom around the globe, as in a game of people trying to gain something for self. No, it has come full circle now to where Rick Martin will be considered the Benedict Arnold of the Planet. Why? Because he allowed "Satan" to take up residency within and, now that Satan is about to be dethroned, he plans to take Ricky, et al., WITH HIM.

But what of someone like Charles Neil? Well, that is a bit more sad to contemplate but very easy to perceive. Charles was offended by my own statements that he had personally failed his own son, among other things. Charles had made categorical and unequivocal promises of "always being there to support" both E.J. and Dharma/Doris—this was absolute—should all the rest go down in byline flames. Then, in one split-second, he changed; he went WITH Rick; he experienced the same things as Rick (even to the return of his plane to Osaka, Japan) and then joined in the total destruction, as he could help arrange it, of all things that had stood for God and Truth in a little town called Tehachapi in California. He participated in the very tearing apart of my people as Rick (oops) continued to write in the name of "Sananda". People, "Sananda" is an ancient Egyptian name for Satan.

Charles said in a public statement, heard round the world, that he had separated from and would never believe again, anything said by Hatonn, E.J. or Doris Ekker. Ah, he also said he was in total service to Sananda and the Hosts (who had moved over to Ed Young, Norey Latona, Rick, etc.). He didn't say "maybe", and if he was misquoted, he didn't own up or deny it. WHEN YOU MAKE AN OPEN DECLARATION THAT YOU SERVE "SATAN", FRIENDS, YOU ERR GREATLY.

Ah, you might ask, however, didn't Dharma also write for Sananda? Yes, indeed, and this is why you have to check out YOUR OWN TEACHERS AS YOU RECEIVE, for "Sananda" also is a label for "god(s)". If the message is clear and TRUTH, in itself, it is valid—yours is to discern, chelas.

So, just how did this "Sananda" get such top billing? Through anyone and everyone resurrecting the "IDEA". And yes, indeed, there are pictures (REAL ONES) OF THE GOOD GUY, but WHO identified the "good guy"? Basically, a New Age Cult. This was carried forward and reinforced by New Age players, aided and abetted by a very high-ranking manipulator IN THE UNITED NATIONS ORGANIZATION.

Does THIS make truth less truth? NO! TRUTH IS, just as the LIE IS. YOU CAN ONLY JUDGE TRUTH BY THE ACTIONS OF THE ENTITIES PRESENTING AS THE TRUTH, BUT ARE EVIL, IN FACT.

Am I saying that one Rick Martin-Cortright is evil? Yes, and so, too, are his accomplices, and they shall be charged as same. Evil is that which intentionally pulls self or another from the path of truth, in any manner, in their experience "toward" God.

Is this a "biggy" or a "littley"? Well, it started out as a littley and has ended up as the biggy of all time. Why? Because it is from this nucleus that THE change unto

rightness is going to flow forth.

Doesn't this somehow mean that Doris and E.J. are going to be worse as egotists than anything seen before? NO, they came to serve GOD OF LIGHT, and help through this current change into truth and realization, and they just want OUT of the continual battle for survival on a not-very-pleasant experiential placement—BECAUSE “I” CHOSE TO DIRECTLY PARTICIPATE.

But, aren't you apt to, if you believe this, get sucked into something “else”? Yes, I hope so, BUT YOU WILL HAVE OBSERVABLE TRUTH TO ASSIST IN YOUR DISCERNMENT! I DRAG YOU NOWHERE, NO TIME, NO HOW! YOUR journey is YOUR JOURNEY, not mine, as such.

So, if Sananda is not the “new name”, really, what is? Guess! The New Name, however, will be pronounced and spelled as many ways and in as many places as God is recognized as a LABEL now, sillies.

By the way, Satan calling himself God of Light, Aton, Christ, or Lord Poopdeck, doesn't matter a whit. Satan (Lucifer, if you prefer) is the Prince of Deceit, and he always calls himself something that will convince YOU to be his tool and dwelling place. He is condemned to eternal life in the Hell he has constructed, and “eternity” is a long time to go without company of souls of like intent—even if they are simply idiot toys of his making.

Do “I” wish to destroy a Rick, or an Ed, or a Gail or a...? No, I wished to participate with them, and to allow them to grow, and realize directions, and a more positive contribution to the flow into your “thousand years of peace and enlightenment”. Did they make it? Yes, but not in a very positive way, although I don't need to judge them, just appreciate their willingness to forfeit the greatest gifts on Earth and allow YOU to begin to recognize ONE of your enemies personified.

Is this some kind of a “curse” on someone's head? NO, any individual can CHANGE, clear out his demons and renew his SOUL. It is not likely that trust could ever be restored among fellow workers of goodly intent, but it does not mean total loss of positive progression WITHIN GOD'S REALM. These people, without change, are NOT welcome, as is, aboard MY SHIP. They can hop onto the Good Ship Lollypop WITH SANANDA, who decides who can come aboard his craft—but beware, that might well not be the transportation you truly wanted.

You live in an ILLUSION, experiencing entities of human physical, where you are allowed free-will choices and writing of your own experiential plays. However, sanity, as truly expressed, is that action of control over illusion that keeps you to REALITY. ONCE THE SOUL IS TRULY ROOTED IN REALITY, the games won't pull you further than to the surface of the illusion, allow you to look around, and reground yourself in reality. This allows you to experience, just as does God in each fragment of Himself—but HE never distracts from Reality as He projects His THOUGHTS.

You who feel you must communicate with “me” through Dharma, etc., are fine in so doing, as to the support you are offering. However, THEY [Ekkers] are basically off on other responsible tasks, and they realize YOU do not need THEM, and they do not wish to be YOUR ROOT SYSTEM. We all want to experience as family mates, and this is what actually pulls you to experience in connection with them, but understand it as it IS, and not as one human being able, ready or willing to claim YOUR EXPERIENCE or ANNOUNCE YOUR PURPOSE.

None of you have been willing to experience the crucifixion or the responsibility through this maze of HELL. OR, YOU WOULD BE WHERE THEY ARE IN

GEOGRAPHIC LOCATION AND IN SERVICE. COULD YOU DO IT? “WOULD” YOU DO IT? NO, YOU DID NOT DO IT! These people DO NOT want to be your leaders, your teachers, your purpose or your guardians—period, end of discussion. They will see their jobs through to positive conclusion, hand the microphone over to me and right on up the line of “authority”, as they perceive it to be.

But what of these “abductions” that take place? What about them? An “ABDUCTION” infers an intentional act of FORCE against an individual. GOD IS NOT OF FORCE—so, whatever is happening—which is, 99.99% of the time, a total “nothing” but sleep illusion based on others' myths and illusions—is certainly NOT OF GOD. Do you really think an advanced civilization that can vaporize you along with themselves through solid walls, run inter-dimensional and extraterrestrial craft—actually need your primitive love affairs and sexual expressions? What do you ACTUALLY think about GOD, TRUTH AND REALITY?

But, some curious observer back at the discussion on SPACE CRAFT over Manila asks: “How are you over Manila in ‘your so-called’ reality, if you are over New York, as well?” Easy! It only takes a THOUGHT to be “somewhere” else—for there is not actually “somewhere ELSE”; however, we can make it more easily understood by simply asking you to NOTE the very makeup of your “time zones”. It is daylight toward EVENING of the day before it is morning of the next day in Manila—all we have to do for good visibility in any NIGHT-sky (the only time you can actually easily SEE rainbow strobe lights) is simply ORBIT, dear ones. Simply ORBIT, and if we wish to make a certain “call” on someone, we simply get out of orbit and transfer focus and position. All that is necessary, quite simply and quite frankly, is a “thought” projection. We certainly do not need to ABDUCT you from your illusion into reality, or from reality into an illusion where you are poked and prodded, impregnated and thus-and-so. These are HUMAN THINGS AND FOCUS, CHILDREN. GOD DOES NOT GO AROUND SCREWING HIS PEOPLE. THOSE ARE PROJECTIONS OF AN EVIL FAIRY GODFATHER-MOTHER. And here I stick in the word God to let you realize how foolish it truly IS.

Let us use an example: Let us say I am working with you who might think self to be an abductee. I get you all into a nice, deep trance, and I tell you to not be afraid, just observe, and tell me what you see—but don't be alarmed by the giant, white, all-encompassing blue-white light or the floating-pulling sensation. Do not be terrified by the bug-eyed, liquid-crystal-eyed, little, scrawny, bulb-headed weirdoes, and do not panic at the apparatus they will use to probe your eyes, your nose, your private parts or poke into your belly, anus or other orifices, along with those they make for their own use. You will remember all of this and more, for I will, in trance, CAUSE YOU TO REMEMBER MORE, MORE, MORE.... Now, I ask YOU, reader, what is going to be REMEMBERED?

“Ah, but,” you say, “Bud Hopkins said...”. Who is Bud Hopkins? He has set himself as some researcher who hypnotizes—and feeds in stories exactly like I gave you before and cements you to your unreality. You, yourself, KNOW it is fabrication, but you will try to please Mr. Hopkins, if you can—while in that trance—and afterwards. You become convinced the tale is true, and you tell it and retell it to your support groups, anyone who will listen—and project the LIE far and wide. It is part of MIND CONTROL.

A moral hypnotherapist WOULD NEVER use such techniques. You may well go back and look at these illusions in trance, but it will allow you to KNOW it is

illusion and set it aside, so that you might be able to again function in the REAL WORLD.

But, you ask, over and over again, “Are there ever any actual abductions?” Most certainly, YES. How else are the controllers GOING TO CONTROL YOU? HOW ELSE ARE THEY GOING TO INSTILL TERROR AND BRING YOU INTO THAT CONTROL MODE? BUT, HOLD ON, ALL THE ILLUSION YOU COALESCE INTO YOUR CONSCIOUSNESS IS NOT TRUTH JUST BECAUSE YOU JOIN A GROUP-CONSCIOUSNESS ALLIANCE AND DRAW YOUR OWN REALITY. YOU WILL CREATE WHAT YOU CHOOSE—THAT DOES NOT MAKE IT TRUTH OR REALITY.

#### SUCCESS

As we ponder whether or not we will find “success”, as we determine it to be, say, in the Philippines—will it come to be? Yes, because it is the RIGHT thing to accomplish for the most of God's people AND, simply put: Even the “enemy” is going to realize it is the only way to go to allow themselves an opportunity to also survive. God does not ever deprive an individual the ability to change, or even just to save his ass, crudely put. When people see that the GOODLY WAY is the BEST WAY, things begin to shape up right quickly—and the rest will fall into line, as well, even if just to test the water and save himself from the “fall”. As TRUTH becomes realized, these individuals become Godly or they go down. Now which would YOU choose? Would you, really? HAVE YOU?

#### SATAN

Satan must have human persons within which to work. Satan HAS NO POWER outside the use of human minds, hands, and beings. NONE, NONE, NONE, NONE—AD INFINITUM. SATAN AND EVIL ARE CONSTRUCTS OF HUMANS WHO WISH TO CONTROL, MANIPULATE AND ENSLAVE. YOU HAVE, AS A SPECIES, COALESCE THIS PROJECTION INTO SEEMING REALITY. BUT IT IS HUMAN EVIL THAT IS THE “FUNCTIONING” ENTITY—EVERY TIME. EVERY TIME. I REPEAT: SATAN IS NOTHING AND HE CAN DO NOTHING EXCEPT MANIPULATE “THROUGH” THE HUMAN BEING. HE IS A MIND ENTITY, AND YES, INDEED, HE CAN AND DOES ACTUALLY—AS AN ENERGY FORM—TAKE UP RESIDENCE WITHIN A HUMAN BEING. EVEN CONTAINED THEREIN, HOWEVER, HE HAS NO POWER, AND EVERY DEED MUST BE PROJECTED THROUGH THAT INDIVIDUAL, HOUSING THE ENERGY-MIND. OTHERWISE, HE IS TOTALLY IMPOTENT AND MIGHT WELL BE PITIED FOR THE NOTHING HE IS. REMEMBER THAT PITY AND COMPASSION ARE TWO DIFFERENT THINGS.

AN IMPORTANT THING TO REMEMBER, HOWEVER, IS THAT WHEN CONFRONTED “TOTALLY” BY TRUTH AND RIGHT, SATAN HIMSELF WILL WITHDRAW. HOWEVER, ONCE WITHDRAWN, THESE DARK ENERGIES WILL COME RIGHT BACK AND REESTABLISH THEMSELVES IN THE SAME HOST, EVERY TIME. **THE BEING IS RESPONSIBLE FOR KEEPING CLEAR.** BUT HOW MANY TRULY “RESPONSIBLE” INDIVIDUALS DO YOU KNOW? HOW ABOUT YOURSELF?

Do you simply respond to something, no matter how far-fetched, just because someone says he “knows” something and shares the lie with you? How many of you just tag along in confusion? How many tag along because “so-and-so” is a friend? Was Dharma not your friend? Well, you crucified her! You went far further with Heysoos; you crucified him, too, and

then claimed he willingly died FOR YOUR SINS, SO THAT YOU COULD GO RIGHT ON IN YOUR EVIL SINNING—BUT, SOMEHOW, BE “SAVED” ETERNALLY.

With Dharma, you only got all her property, took what you thought you could of even her Teachers and set them up as idols of your making—WHILE SHE WAS OFF WORKING AROUND THE CLOCK TO ENSURE YOU WOULD HAVE RETURN, ABUNDANCE AND SUCCESS IN YOUR WISHES. I suggest you be VERY, VERY CAREFUL in how you assess your own stance and opinions—AND JUST WHERE DID YOU GET YOUR BASIS FOR OPINIONS AND PERCEPTIONS—UPON WHICH YOU HAVE “ACTED”—EVEN IN CRIMINAL UNLAWFULNESS? OH, WELL? (???) IS THIS YOUR RESPONSE? OH, WELL? (OH, WELL...)

Is Dharma something special? Yes. Is E.J. special? Yes. Is Fatima special? Yes. ARE YOU SPECIAL? YES! So, would it not behoove you to consider MORE CAREFULLY your attitudes and actions? That which is WRONG is going to be confronted EVERY TIME, henceforth. You who blow in the winds of circumstance and follow the foolish leaders for their own ends will often have to pay the price, whatever that might be, for your actions—for actions are intentional, even if misdirected.

Why do I harp seemingly endlessly on these topics and figures as individuals? Because I want to have you realize that I care absolutely about YOU, and if you don't realize TRUTH against the LIE, you will remain IN THE LIE, wondering what happened and blaming (scapegoating) everyone who comes along your pathway. You feed the lies by your every action—in cases such as in Tehachapi, in our own instance—and then turn and blame “your intended victim”. It is not acceptable, and do not claim you got that input from GOD of LIGHT, CREATOR.

ONE intentional wrong action—IS THE LIE, babies in ignorance. And furthermore, you will find the most cunning deceivers are exactly THAT: cunning and shrewd; honey drips from their fangs in their pious revelations—and you are sucked in. WHO IS THE “FOOL” IN THIS INSTANCE? WELL, FOOL YOU ARE IF YOU BUY INTO IT.

So, where has God gone? NOWHERE. It is YOU who dance around on the lies absorbing the poison, and it is YOU who will reap the destruction—not the jerks who set you up. They will get theirs in the end, but they will use YOU just as long as you ALLOW IT.

I will go back as an example and read you something: “...Marcos persistently denied any wrongdoing, despite overwhelming evidence to the contrary.” No, this is not so—THERE WAS NO EVIDENCE AT ALL; THERE WERE ORCHESTRATED AND FALSE REPRESENTATIONS—BUT THERE WAS NO “EVIDENCE”. You see, you can't even lump into the whole what Imelda Marcos did, whether for or in spite of Ferdinand. I just choose this as an example. For, if someone is going to be a “vehicle” for the return of Christ—don't you think the propaganda machines would be working overtime?

I'm not going to belabor this further, but you have to understand something, people. You are no longer “children”, allowed to just dance through the tulips of life. You are to be responsible in TRUTH and move out of the LIES. And how do you know fact from fiction, truth from lie? LOOK AT THE ACTIONS AND JUDGE THEM VERY CAREFULLY!

I would remind you, also, a guttersnipe can change the world—but he has to come up out of the gutter to do so! Think about it.

Salu and good morning,

Aton

dharmia

# *The Immaculate Deception*

## *The Bush Crime Family Exposed*

### Chapter Two

By Russell S. Bowen

*The title of this article refers to a book by the same name. With permission from the author's agent, we are pleased to present this book in this chapter-by-chapter series.*

#### CHAPTER 2

#### AND OF THE SON

From this elite Eastern Establishment of politicians, businessmen and financiers exerting their influence in America's foreign and domestic policies, sprang George Bush.

By every standard of measure, he represents, reflects and nurtures the elitism of his forefathers and their cronies. Yet, his 30-year career has been a dichotomy:

- scion of a wealthy WASP Establishment family with homes in Connecticut and Maine;
- self-made, rugged individualist, oilman, khaki shirt, sleeves rolled up;
- right-wing conservative politician holding firmly to the rigid principles of the Republican Party;
- modern, insightful centrist Republican, willing to open the party doors to all windows of thought.

Take your pick of the aforementioned, and you will not be wrong. George Bush, it seems, desperately seeks to be all things to all people.

As one of Florida's top Republican officials said: “George has no center. He stands for nothing. He is a political opportunist in the truest sense of the word.”

What makes this strange political animal tick?

That may be impossible to answer. Certainly, his background was of elegance and comfort, thanks to the unscrupulous business tactics of his father.

When the rest of the country was plunged into the Great Depression—following the stock market crash of 1929—George Bush and his family flourished, thanks to the *largesse* of Averell Harriman, and the massive profits from Grandfather Walker's oil deals with the Soviets, and the financial arrangements between Brown Brothers Harriman and the Thyssen-Nazi cartel. The Bushes were cushioned from the suffering endured by the majority of Americans. The Hamburg-America steamship line, whose workforce was controlled by the Nazi Labor Front, poured money into the Walker and Bush coffers.

The Bushes lived in a large, dark-shingled house with broad verandas on Grove Lane, in the Deer Park section of Greenwich, Conn.<sup>1</sup> They were attended by four servants—three maids and a chauffeur. The family spent its summers at the second home built by Grandfather Walker at Kennebunkport, Maine. Along with the colony's other well-to-do inhabitants,

the Bush kids learned tennis and sailing at Kennebunkport River Club.

George was named after his grandfather, George Herbert Walker, who was called “Pop” by George's mother. She gave George what became his lifelong nickname when she began calling him “little Pop”, or “Poppy”.

Poppy's mother and father raised him and his siblings, older brother Prescott, Jr., younger sister Nancy, and brothers Jonathan and William, to be mindful of their family's distinguished lineage and its growing importance in the world.<sup>2</sup>

As the world economic crisis deepened and other Americans were experiencing unprecedented hardship and fear, the Bush children were taught that those who suffered had no one to blame but themselves. Writer Joe Hyams glowingly recounted Bush's roots in 1991, based on material supplied by the White House: “Alec, the family chauffeur, drove the two boys to school every morning after dropping Prescott, Sr. at the railroad station for the morning commute to Manhattan. The Depression was nowhere in evidence as the boys glided in the family's black Oldsmobile past the stone fences, stables and swimming pools of one of the wealthiest communities in America.”

Prescott Bush—who was heaping the family fortunes through sophisticated bond deals with John Foster Dulles for the Nazis—was, according to Hyams, “a thrifty man who daily impressed on his children the value of a dollar and encouraged frugality and saving. He had no sympathy for the *nouveau riche* who flaunted their wealth; they were without class, he said. As a sage and strictly honest businessman, he had often turned failing companies around, making them profitable again, and he had scorn for people who went bankrupt because they mismanaged their money.”

George Bush left his day school in Greenwich at age 12 to join his older brother at Phillips Academy in Andover, Massachusetts, 20 miles north of Boston. “Andover” was one of the most exclusive of the New England preparatory or “prep” schools, whose students were almost without exception wealthy, Episcopalian White boys. As Bush's own staff conceded in a 1980 campaign biography, “At the close of the 1930s...these schools...brought the famous ‘old-boy networks’ to the peak of their power.”<sup>3</sup>

Bush's own military career in World War II was relatively uneventful but offered unlimited



opportunities for image promoters. On his 18<sup>th</sup> birthday, Bush enlisted in the Naval Reserve. After flight training at Corpus Christi, Texas, the 20-year-old Navy pilot was assigned to the Third and Fifth Fleets in the Pacific.

While serving on the light aircraft carrier, *USS San Jacinto*, the TMB-3E Avenger torpedo bomber flown by Bush was shot down by anti-aircraft fire.

Bush bailed out, leaving the other crew members to fend for themselves, even though the Avenger was built to sustain ocean-crash landings. He was picked up four hours later by the U.S. submarine *Finback*. He describes in his autobiography, "Later, I learned that neither Jack Delaney nor Ted White had survived. One went down with the plane; the other was seen jumping, but his parachute failed to open."<sup>4</sup>

The decorated Bush was discharged in 1945 with the rank of Lieutenant (Q.g.). This portrayal has been pushed scores of times into the media under various excuses to pump up the "Bush the War hero" image. On September 3, 1984, for example, this relatively minor episode in the Pacific was converted into an anniversary at Norfolk Naval Base. Bush was photographed waving from a vintage Avenger and took the opportunity to make an "I was there" speech.

In the speech, Bush reminded his naval audience: "I think all of us who have seen combat know how important that technological edge is. When you have to go up there alongside the enemy and alone against the enemy, you don't want someone to be cutting corners on the equipment."

Ann Lewis, political director of the Democratic National Committee, criticized the Norfolk Naval Base episode: "I think events like this—for the purpose of a national campaign—trivialize the very values that they claim to defend. That a politician would order a special Navy anniversary—organized to trumpet his own brief military endeavors—is trivial and hypocritical, indeed."<sup>5</sup>

After leaving the Navy in 1946, Bush contracted what has been called a "corporate merger" type of marriage, popular in the 1940s and 1950s, when powerful groups intermarried for power more than any other reason. George Bush married Barbara Pierce, Smith College-educated daughter of publisher Marvin Pierce of *McCalls* Magazine.

It was Barbara Pierce's brother, Scott Pierce, President of E.F. Hutton, who was in the center of the E.F. Hutton check-kiting scandals that cost American investors millions of dollars over an extended period in the 1980s. In May 1985, Scott Pierce pled guilty to mail-fraud charges.

According to syndicated columnist Jack Anderson, Scott Pierce, through other E.F. Hutton associates, asked Vice President Bush to intervene. Given the extraordinarily light nature of the sentences—there were *2,000 counts of mail fraud* and *no one* went to prison—it seems certain that someone in power successfully intervened. However, crucial documentation of the Vice President lunching with his brother-in-law and discussing the case is not available.

Like his father before him, George Bush's biography pays scant attention to his 1948 membership in Skull & Bones, a society so secret that virtually nothing is known about it or its membership.

One researcher has spent several years in an unsuccessful attempt to have Bush admit this membership and present an open book to the electorate about his oath of allegiance to this secret society.

Club 1948 contains George Herbert Walker Bush, who, with 14 fellow brothers, chose the Club of 1949. The Club of 1949 includes Reverend William Sloane Coffin, Jr., the anti-war cleric with a decidedly offbeat revolutionary fervor based at the old-time Riverside Church, New York. Sometimes it appears that everything stemming from Marxist revolution in the U.S. also stems from Riverside Church. In the same cell as revolutionary Coffin, is C.E. Lord (generations of Lord families have always had representatives in Skull & Bones)—this Lord was Comptroller of the Currency; P.W. Lufkin of the firm Donaldson, Lufkin and Jenrette and V. Van Dine, who was a long-term CIA operative, as was William Sloane Coffin. All, however, are sworn by common oath not to divulge their activities.

After leaving the U.S. Navy, Bush started his business career in Texas oil as a salesman for Neil Mallon of Dresser Industries of Dallas, Texas, the oil supply company with links to the Soviet Union that his father had previously managed.

Bush began employment in Odessa, Texas with one of Dresser's subsidiaries, IDECO (short for International Derrick and Equipment Company). In his autobiography, Bush described his advent in the Texas oilfields this way: "Heading into Texas in my Studebaker, all I knew about the state's landscape was what I'd seen from the cockpit of a Vultee [?] Vibrator during my training days in the Navy."<sup>6</sup>

Fitzhugh Green, in his book, *George Bush: An Intimate Portrait*, published after Bush became president, described the Studebaker trip: "He [Bush] gassed up his 1948 Studebaker, arranged for his wife and son to follow and headed for Odessa, Texas."

A 1983 *Texas Monthly* magazine article written by Harry Hurt III carried this description: "When George Herbert Walker Bush drove his battered red Studebaker into Odessa in the summer of 1948, the town's population, though constantly increasing with newly-arrived oil hands, was still under 30,000." Several other versions of the Studebaker story have been reprinted in other biographies of Bush and his wife, Barbara.

The only problem with this story is that Bush did not arrive in Odessa, Texas in a red Studebaker. Texas oilman and Bush campaign contributor Oscar Wyatt of Houston, attempted to correct the story in a letter to the *Texas Monthly*, in which he specified that "when people speak of Mr. Bush's humble beginnings in the oil industry, it should be noted that he rode down to Texas on Dresser's private aircraft. He was accompanied by his father who, at the time, was one of the directors of Dresser Industries....I hate it when people make statements about Mr. Bush's humble beginnings in the oil industry. It just didn't happen that way."

After less than a year in Odessa, Dresser Industries transferred Bush to California, where he worked as a salesman selling IDECO drilling bits. Bush then teamed with John Overby, an independent operator, in his own oil-and-gas lease business as President of Bush-Overby Development Company, based in Midland, Texas, incorporated in Delaware in 1953 and merged with Walker-Bush Corporation in 1955. One of his key investors was Eugene Meyer, owner of the *Washington Post*, who held a number of accounts with Brown Brothers Harriman.

The Bush family's mingling of business, politics and intelligence re-started in 1953, when Bush co-

founded his own oil company, Zapata Petroleum Corp. of Houston, Texas, in partnership with Hugh and Bill Liedtke. Bush described the merger thusly:

"The following year it would spin off into Zapata Off-Shore and, in time, under Hugh Liedtke's imaginative management, merge into Pennzoil. Zapata: there was a winning chemistry about the company. We could sense it."<sup>7</sup>

Zapata Off-Shore Company, founded with Bush as President and Liedtke as Treasurer, became a highly successful operator in offshore drilling for oil. Typically, Zapata had the advantages of Bush investment-banking connections and family wealth. Starting in 1953 from an initial investment of \$500,000 each, from Bush-Overby and the Liedtke brothers, according to Bush, Zapata's 1985 Annual Report listed revenues of well over one-quarter billion dollars from a fleet of offshore drilling rigs.

This relatively unknown company today employs 7,300 people; not bad for a 30-year-old company built from an idea. However, Zapata owes its success to an ability to negotiate contracts with large international oil companies, in competition with 140 other offshore drilling companies. Zapata has taken advantage of government financing programs and especially U.S. government-guaranteed ship-financing securities at 8 ¾ percent, subsidized by the U.S. taxpayer.

Bush served as president of Zapata Oil from 1954 to 1964 and then as Chairman of the Board of Zapata Off-Shore from 1964 to 1966. In brief, Bush's money came from Texas oil.

In this, he was always associated with Hugh Liedtke, who came to prominence when Bush was Republican National Chairman in 1973, and it was revealed that the Texas campaign fund of Bush's former partner, Liedtke, had been used to partly finance the Watergate break-in.

In 1977, Bush and Liedtke went to China; Bush in an official capacity. Knowing this, the Chinese made their confidential oil surveys available to Liedtke's oil company, the only one to receive these surveys. This gesture was presumably because of Bush's position.

More recently, Pennzoil, a Liedtke company, received the largest damages award in American history. Texaco was charged with interfering with a merger deal made by Pennzoil and Getty.

For this, the judge awarded a phenomenal \$12 billion in damages, a sum that, if paid, could have broken Texaco. No one has investigated possible Bush influence on the subsequent appeal, which was settled at \$4 billion.

While Bush was Vice President, his son, George, Jr., became President of Zapata Off-Shore. This helps explain why the Vice President flew to the Middle East in early 1986 to persuade the Arab countries to raise the price of oil, which had, to the delight of the average citizen, fallen below a dollar a gallon [for gasoline].

Bush used the argument to the Arabs that U.S. security depended on a rise in the price of crude oil, then \$12 a barrel on the spot market. With operating costs at \$2 a barrel, the Arabs were making \$8 to \$10 a barrel, but this was not enough for the Vice President and his Texas oil friends. This Middle-East Bush visit triggered consternation in the White House. Here was the Vice President of an administration devoted to free markets, encouraging use of underhanded practices to raise the price of crude.

The Reagan Administration hastened to put some

distance between itself and a Vice President who, apparently, only got tough when crude-oil prices started to fall to the advantage of the American consumer.

Said another official: "Look, the policy is and remains free market; that's the core of the policy...the reason there's no oil-import fee is because the decisive vote against it was cast by Ronald Reagan." There were even concerns that, in helping raise oil prices, Bush was "digging himself a political hole" for 1988.

This prompted Bush to claim: "I'm in a listening mode when it comes to the intentions of these major producing countries. And again, the interest in the United States is bound to be cheap energy, if we possibly can. But from our interest, there is some point where the national-security interests of the United States say, 'Hey, we must have a strong, viable, domestic industry.'"<sup>8</sup>

This is surely political double-talk. When we needed the help of the Saudi Arabians in 1987 during the Iraqi raid on the *U.S.S. Stark*, they refused to aid us. Furthermore, it was Dresser Industries, founded with friends of the Bush family, that provided the majority of assistance in expanding Soviet crude-oil production to compete with U.S. and Arab crude. The only time one finds tough behavior on the part of George Bush is when oil interests and family business interests are at stake.

Crude oil prices promptly rose \$2 a barrel after Bush's visit to the Middle East. This action on behalf of big oil, accounts for the increased oil-company contributions to the Bush Political Action Committee (PAC), Fund for America's Future. Probably one-third of the contributions came from Midland, Dallas and Houston—Texas.

Also curious is Bush's relationship with another former oilman, Jorge Diaz Serrano. In 1960, Bush went into a joint venture with Diaz Serrano in a Mexican drilling company called Permargo. Bush's 50-percent interest was hidden, because his ownership violated Mexican law.

Permargo had lucrative contracts with Pemex, the giant state-oil-monopoly of Mexico.

Diaz Serrano went on to head Pemex from 1975 to 1980. After reports of Pemex being looted of billions during his reign, Diaz Serrano—who was reported to have lent Pemex as a cover for CIA covert operations in Mexico—was convicted in 1983 of defrauding the government. Bush's former partner spent five years in prison.

When *Barron's* financial magazine looked into what it called Bush's "shadowy Mexican operation", investigative reporter Jonathan Kwitny found that the Zapata records of Bush's relationship with Diaz Serrano, 1960-66, had been "inadvertently destroyed" by the SEC after Bush became Vice President in 1981.

This is another example of Bush's success, traced to family connections combined with government financing and intervention, rather than American free enterprise. It is, however, in the political field that the real, elitist, favored-son George Bush shines.

George Bush has had a remarkable political career. Bush apparently tackles a political objective, falls flat on his face, and then is eased by his elitist friends into a more coveted position by political appointment, such as his directorship of the CIA.

Bush's autobiography skips the early 1960s,

except when he makes his official entry into public life as Chair of the Harris County, Texas, Republican Party in 1963 and as a Texas delegate to the 1964 Republican National Convention.

He ran unsuccessfully for the Senate in 1964 against the "liberal" Democratic incumbent, Ralph Yarborough. In his first major political outing, Bush wore the mantle of a Goldwater Republican, campaigning against the Nuclear Test Ban Treaty, Medicare, allowing Red China into the United Nations and the Civil Rights Act. Beating off conservative primary candidates, Bush grabbed the Republican nomination, only to lose to Yarborough by 300,000 votes. Nevertheless, Bush attracted 43 percent of the vote, a good showing in a state where Democrats far outnumbered Republicans.

Bush "quit" the oil business in 1966 and—with backing from family, friends and the establishment business community—was elected to Congress from a safe, affluent, Houston district. His voting record in Congress was mixed, certainly not straight Goldwaterite.

From that point, Bush adopted what has become known as the Eastern-Establishment position; a weak, unprincipled mishmash of policies that has brought no-win wars and economic chaos to the United States.

As a freshman Congressman with elitist backing, Bush immediately was given a coveted seat on the House Ways and Means Committee. It was reported on Sept. 28, 1986 in *Washington Post Magazine* that Bush got this seat through pressure from Chairman Democrat Wilbur Mills and then Leader of the House, Republican Jerry Ford. According to a 1980 *Rolling Stone* article, Mills took a liking to Bush, nicknaming him "Rubbers" for his support of family planning.

A public appearance of conservatism can be traced throughout the Bush political career, but that is inconsistent with his voting records and political actions. By 1970, Bush had a record that a conservative Democratic businessman, Lloyd Bentsen, could misrepresent as "liberal". The two faced off in a Senate contest in Texas, after Bentsen defeated the liberal Yarborough in a vicious primary.

Bush was encouraged to enter the race by President Nixon, who had targeted Southern liberals, including Yarborough, for defeat.

Under a cloak of Goldwater conservatism, Bush actually held left-liberal political opinions, supporting gun control, for example, while opposing repeal of the Federal Income Tax and further military involvement in Vietnam.

Expecting to face Yarborough in the general election, Bush was unprepared for Bentsen, who castigated him for his support of gun control and a guaranteed annual income for the poor. Bush, the eager-beaver, had waited only two terms in the House before running again for the Senate in 1970, only to lose and throw away the opportunities brought by family and business contacts.

Once again, privilege came to George's assistance. To throw away a safe congressional seat on a gamble for the Senate would seem to demonstrate a severe lack of judgment, yet it was rewarded by the Establishment with a coveted appointment. In February 1971, Bush became Ambassador to the United Nations. There, he took

up an old cause: keeping China out of that body. While Bush argued for China's exclusion, Henry Kissinger conducted secret negotiations with Beijing to revive U.S.-Sino relations.

In January 1973, Bush's political career was boosted once again. Nixon appointed him Chairman of the Republican National Committee, where he campaigned for a quick end to the Senate Watergate hearings and loyally defended his patron.

Instead of choosing a seasoned strategist, Nixon picked a two-time Senate loser who needed influence to make his way in Congress—a loser who was deeply suspect by constitutionalists within the Republican Party.

After eighteen months at the National Committee, Bush again ached for change and, without leaving any memorial at the RNC, was appointed envoy to the People's Republic of China to replace an ailing David Bruce.

This came about because of the Nixon-Kissinger change of face, i.e. to treat Communist China as an ally, rather than a threat to the United States. However, as more than one commentator has observed, principle is not a George Bush hallmark. His approach has been called "guiltless pragmatism"; in other words, a shifting of position irrespective of principle.

A year later, Ford called him home to head the Central Intelligence Agency. Bush's appointment as the Agency's director in 1975 was widely criticized because, as Bush writes, "Bill Colby, a professional in the intelligence field, was being replaced by a nonprofessional outsider and a politician to boot."

Senator Frank Church commented: "It appears as though the White House may be using this important post merely as a grooming room before he is brought on stage next year as a vice-presidential running mate." Speaking against the appointment, Church said he knew of "no particular reason why [Bush] is qualified" for the job.

Since it is very likely that Church did not know of Bush's earlier connections to the CIA, his reaction was understandable. But Bush needed a position. So, once again, "guiltless pragmatism" won over principles.

<sup>1</sup> Nicholas King, *George Bush: A Biography* (New York: Dodd, Mead & Co., 1980), pp.13-14

<sup>2</sup> Joe Hyams, *Flight of the Avenger* (New York: Harcourt, Brace, Jovanovich, 1991), p.14:

"Prescott Sheldon Bush, George's father, was a third-generation American who could trace his family's roots back to England's King Henry III, making George a thirteenth cousin, twice removed, of Queen Elizabeth II."

<sup>3</sup> King, pp. 13-14

<sup>4</sup> George Bush with Victor Gold, *Looking Forward* (Doubleday: New York, 1987), pp.36-39

<sup>5</sup> Sutton, *The Two Faces of George Bush* (New York: Wiswell Ruffin House, Inc., 1988), p.6

<sup>6</sup> George Bush with Victor Gold, p.47

<sup>7</sup> See *The Roar of the Crowd*, *Texas Monthly*, November, 1991. See also Jan Garble, *Meaner Than a Junkyard Dog*, *Texas Monthly*, April 1991, p.68 ff. Wyatt observes, "I knew from the beginning George Bush came to Texas only because he was politically ambitious. He flew out here on an airplane owned by Dresser Industries. His daddy was a member of the board of Dresser."

<sup>8</sup> Sutton, *The Two Faces of George Bush*, p.29

# *The Immaculate Deception*

## *The Bush Crime Family Exposed*

### Chapter Three

By Russell S. Bowen

#### CHAPTER 3

##### OPERATION ZAPATA: "THE BAY OF PIGS THING"

Actually, however, Congress was being manipulated. This is how the war machine operates. Behind the seeming concern for national security are the busy men with the bulging briefcases hurrying from the Pentagon over to Capitol Hill, the tight-mouthed men hurrying from CIA headquarters over to the Pentagon; it is, eventually, a game. It is an operation designed to produce for the warfare sector and its military-hardware supporters billions of dollars annually and unlimited power in the affairs of the nation.

Since the end of World War II, the United States has spent a thousand billion dollars—one trillion dollars—furnished by the American people, who have been colonized by these men and their hunger for power. Could the CIA kill a president to keep such an operation going? Kings have been beheaded for infinitely less.—**Jim Garrison, A Heritage of Stone** (1970)

"I mean, draw your own conclusions. There's a table of organization at the Company. The only people who had all the pieces in place that could have done it were a tight 'old-boy' network of guys that wanted to see him go, that thought he was committing suicide."—**Former CIA agent, Gordon Novel**

JFK, Academy-Award winning film director Oliver Stone's three-hour, high-voltage inquisition into the assassination of John F. Kennedy is the most controversial film in recent history. While the cameras were still rolling, on the basis of a first-draft script, *The Washington Post* skewered the film in an article titled *Dallas in Wonderland*. Since then, almost every major newspaper, magazine and television commentator has voiced criticism of Stone's vision of a vast conspiracy behind the assassination.

Stone has retorted that "Every paper and magazine in America has devoted more space in the last three months to attacking my attack on the Warren Commission than they devoted in the previous 28 years to examining the Warren Commission....My question is, 'Why are they so scared of this movie?' What are they defending?"<sup>1</sup>

Asked about the controversy, President Bush reiterated his faith in the Warren Commission, comparing conspiracy theories to rumors that Elvis is alive. Stone promptly fired off a statement to *Daily Variety* virtually accusing Bush of being part of the cover-up. In his 30 years in the "executive branch establishment", Stone writes, Bush "has had ample opportunity to stonewall the American people."<sup>2</sup>

"Dean, does Bush know about the [smoking gun] transcript yet?"

"Yes."

"Well, what did he do?"

"He broke out into assholes and shit himself to death."—**Conversation between White House**

**Congressional liaison William Timmons and Dean Burch, White House counselor, as recorded in Woodward and Bernstein's *The Final Days***

Bush's character, or lack thereof, was never more graphically demonstrated than the moment referred to above on August 5, 1974, during a meeting with other White House officials to assess the impact of the "smoking-gun" tape. The recording contained the conversation between Nixon and Chief of Staff H.R. Haldeman, held shortly after the original break-in at the Watergate, which could now no longer be contained. It was already understood among the men gathered to listen that Nixon's resignation was inevitable.

Bush's great concern, however, was not for his boss, Richard Nixon. His overpowering fear was that the smoking-gun tape called attention to a money-laundering mechanism which he, along with Bill Liedtke and Robert Mosbacher, Bush's partner, had helped to set up, at Nixon's request, in Mexico.

During that exchange, on June 23, 1972, Nixon told Haldeman to order Richard Helms at the CIA to stop the FBI's investigation into the transfer of funds from Texas and Minnesota through Mexico City into the coffers of the Committee to Re-Elect the President (CREEP), and from there, into the hands of the "Plumbers" arrested in the Watergate building.

The statements on the tape were widely interpreted to constitute *prima-facie* case of obstruction of justice against Nixon. Bush's concern centered around Nixon's references to "the Texans" and "some Texas people", of whom Bush, Liedtke and Mosbacher were most prominent. The potential threat to Bush's political ambitions was staggering.

Bush, along with Nixon, was extremely concerned that the FBI's investigation would expose their connection to "the Bay of Pigs thing".

In the same discussion, Nixon links "the Cubans", "the Texans", "Helms", "Hunt", "Bernard Barker", "Robert Mosbacher" and "the Bay of Pigs". Over and over on the Watergate tapes, these names come up in the discussions of the photos from Dallas that Nixon was supposedly trying to obtain in the Watergate burglary.<sup>3</sup>

In the transcript, Nixon describes George Bush's partner, Robert Mosbacher, as one of the Texas fundraisers for Nixon. Nixon refers to the "Cubans" and the "Texans". The "Texans" were Bush, Mosbacher and Liedtke.

In fact, most of the CIA leadership in the planned invasion of Cuba seems to have been from Texas. An entire Texan branch of the CIA is based in the oil business.

If we trace Bush's background in the Texas oil business, we discover his two partners in the oil-barge leasing business: Mosbacher and Texan James Baker. Mosbacher is now Secretary of Commerce and Baker is Secretary of State. According to a longtime operative in Agency operations in the Caribbean and South America, Bush's company, Zapata Oil, was one of the key suppliers of oil to Cuba under Batista.

After his landslide victory in 1972, the first thing Nixon

did was to demand signed resignations of his entire government. "Eliminate everyone," he told John Ehrlichman, "except George Bush. Bush will do anything for our cause."<sup>4</sup>

Other members of the Watergate team—who were coordinated by Bush for the invasion of Cuba over a decade before—were Frank Sturgis, E. Howard Hunt, Bernard Barker and Rafael "Chi Chi" Quintero. Quintero worked with CIA coordinator William F. Buckley, Jr. in a special assassination unit run under the cover of Pemex Oil Co. in Mexico in the early 1980s, before Buckley's return to Beirut and his subsequent capture and torture to death by a radical Islamic group. The longtime CIA hitman has said publicly that if he ever told what he knew about Dallas and the Bay of Pigs "it would be the biggest scandal ever to rock the nation."

Bush claims he never worked for the CIA until he was appointed Director by President Ford in 1976. Of course, Bush has a company duty to deny being in the CIA. The CIA is a secret organization. No one ever admits to being a member.

The truth is that Bush has been a top CIA agent since before the 1961 invasion of Cuba, working with Felix Rodriguez and other anti-Castro Cubans. Bush may deny his work for the CIA in 1959, but there are records in the files of Rodriguez and others involved in the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba that expose Bush's role. The corporations would not put somebody in charge of all the state secrets held by the CIA, unless he was experienced and well-trained in the CIA.<sup>5</sup>

The initial reaction of Senator Frank Church, Chair of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, to the firing of William Colby and the naming of Bush as CIA Director, was that it was part of attempts by Ford (a former member of the Warren Commission) to impede the Church Committee's investigation into CIA assassination plots, with which Colby was cooperating, but which Ford was trying to keep secret. Within days of Bush's advent as DCI, the hemorrhage ended.

Did Congress realize that Nixon and Bush had openly discussed killing JFK for stopping the air cover for the Bay of Pigs invasion of Cuba? Remember, Nixon taped virtually every discussion he had with anyone in his inner circle, including Bush. There is a photo of Bush reporting to Nixon in the White House in 1968. It will be interesting to see what they were talking about on that day, when the full 4,000 hours are released.

In his autobiography, *Looking Forward*, Bush describes some of his political adversaries in Texas, including Lyndon Johnson, John Connally, Ralph Yarborough and Lloyd Bentsen, three of whom were in Dealey Plaza, in Dallas, in the motorcade which carried Kennedy to his death. Curiously, his only statement in the book concerning that event is "But now Johnson was President...."

Perhaps his seeming disinterest in Kennedy's killing can be traced to a single fact purposely left out of his glowing biography. Buried among the 98,755 pages of FBI documents released to the public in 1977 and 1978—as a result of *Freedom of Information Act* suits—was a memo on November 29, 1963, one week after the assassination, from J. Edgar Hoover.

Written to the director of the State Department's Bureau of Intelligence and Research, Hoover described the briefing given to "George Bush of the Central Intelligence Agency" the day after the assassination, when Lee Harvey Oswald was interrogated about his connections to Cuban exiles and the CIA. Oswald's ties to the CIA and Naval Intelligence are virtually undisputed; the likelihood that Bush functioned on behalf of either of the two agencies is even greater, given his early service as a naval aviator and his family's close ties to the military-industrial complex.

The briefing was held, according to the FBI director, because the State Department feared that "some misguided

*anti-Castro group might capitalize on the present situation and undertake an unauthorized raid against Cuba, believing that the assassination of President John F. Kennedy might herald a change in U.S. policy, which is not true.*"

"The substance of the foregoing was furnished to Bush and Capt. William Edwards of the Defense Intelligence Agency on November 23, by Mr. W.T. Forsyth of this Bureau."

Bush himself described his CIA appointment by President Ford as a "real shocker". In his autobiography, Bush points out: "I'd come to the CIA with some general knowledge of how it operated." His remark in the book that his "overseas experience as a businessman" helped qualify him for the appointment by President Nixon—as Ambassador to the United Nations—could also refer to his experience as a CIA agent.

Bush's autobiography is vague about his activities in the early 1960s, when he was running the Houston-based Zapata Off-Shore Company. "Running an offshore oil company," he wrote, "would mean days spent over water; not only the Gulf of Mexico but oceans and seas the world over."<sup>6</sup>

The 1972 profile of Bush in *Current Biography* provides more details of those years: "Bush traveled throughout the world to sell Zapata's oil-drilling services. Under his direction it grew to be a multi-million-dollar concern, with operations in Latin America, the Caribbean, the Middle East, Japan, Australia and Western Europe." According to Nicholas King's *George Bush: A Biography*, his company, Zapata Oil, was concentrating in the Caribbean and off South America in the early 1960s, which meshes with the available data on Bush's early CIA responsibilities. An agent will often adopt the cover of a businessman, while business people have also served as informants for the Agency, passing along information picked up on their travels.

Informed by reporter Joseph McBride in mid-1988 of the 11/29/1963 J. Edgar Hoover memorandum, the then-Vice-President's spokesman, Stephen Hart, asked, "Are you sure it's the same George Bush?" After talking to the Vice President, Hart quoted him as follows, "I was in Houston, Texas, at the time and involved in the independent oil-drilling business. And I was running for the Senate in late '63." "Must be another George Bush," added Hart.

McBride then asked him, via Hart:

- Did you do any work with or for the CIA prior to the time you became its Director?
- If so, what was the nature of your relationship with the agency, and how long did it last?
- Did you receive a briefing by a member of the FBI on anti-Castro Cuban activities in the aftermath of the assassination of President Kennedy?

Half an hour later, Hart called McBride to say he had not spoken to the Vice President but would answer the questions himself. The answer to the first question was no, he said, and so he would skip number two.

To the third, he repeated Bush's answer above but added that Bush had also said, "I don't have any idea what he's talking about."

However, when Bush's denial was read back to him, Hart said that he preferred not to be quoted directly, explaining, "It's a week old now, and I'm going off my notes."

When McBride reminded him that he wanted to quote Bush directly, Hart said, "I am a spokesman. However you want to write it, the answer is no", regarding Bush's alleged 1963 involvement with the CIA.

CIA spokesman Bill Devine told McBride, "This is the first time I've heard this," when asked about Bush's CIA involvement in the early 1960s. The next day,

Devine called McBride with the terse response, "I can neither confirm nor deny." When he was told what Hart had said and asked whether he could check whether [or not] there had been another George Bush in the CIA, Devine responded, "Twenty-seven years ago? I doubt that very much. In any event, we just have a standard policy of not confirming that anyone is involved with the CIA."

Richard Helms, who was Deputy Director at the Agency in 1963, told McBride, Bush's name in the memo "must have been some kind of misprint. I don't recall anyone by that name working for the agency....He certainly never worked for me."

McBride attempted to locate William T. Forsyth, but learned that he was dead. Forsyth worked out of the Washington FBI headquarters and was best known for running the investigation of the Rev. Martin Luther King, Jr. Efforts to locate Captain Edwards of the Defense Intelligence Agency were unsuccessful.

Bush's specific duties with the CIA in 1963—whether he was an agent, or merely an "asset"—cannot be determined from Hoover's memo. However, one of McBride's sources, who worked with the Agency in the late 1950s and 1960s, said of the Vice President, "I know he was involved in the Caribbean. I know he was involved in the suppression of things after the Kennedy Assassination. There was a very definite worry that some Cuban groups were going to move against Castro and attempt to blame it on the CIA."<sup>7</sup>

After McBride's article concerning Hoover's 1963 memo appeared in *Nation* magazine, the CIA evidently changed its mind. On July 19, 1988, Agency spokeswoman Sharon Basso told the *Associated Press* that the 1963 Hoover memo "apparently" referred not to Vice President George Bush but to George William Bush, who had worked in 1963 on the night watch at CIA headquarters, which "would have been the appropriate place to have received such an FBI report". Basso said *this* George Bush left the CIA in 1964 to work for the Defense Intelligence Agency.

Why did the Agency break with its longstanding policy of "neither confirm nor deny"? Basso said it [the Agency] believed "the record should be clarified". Another CIA official told the *AP*, "We put a lot of effort into this."

Hart of the VP's office told the same thing to Sarah Perl of the *Nation*.

Both Perl and McBride called the DIA, which confirmed that George William Bush had worked there between February 1964 and July 1965, performing the same duties as a CIA civilian-grade government intelligence-research specialist, with the relatively low-level rank of GS-7.

Although the Agency claimed that the whereabouts of this Bush were unknown, McBride located him at 401 Cambridge Road, Alexandria, Virginia. A check of directories showed that a George W. Bush, "emp US govt", lived at the Cambridge Road address in 1964. When McBride reached George William Bush, who was 49 at that time and worked for the Social Security Administration, Bush confirmed that he had worked for the CIA for about six months in 1963-64, as a coast and landing-beach analyst—not as a night watchman—in those years, with the rank of GS-5. He definitely did *not* receive the FBI briefing in 1963, he stated.

When McBride read him the Hoover memo about the FBI briefing, his response was, "Is that the other George Bush?"

While in the CIA, George William Bush said he had never received interagency briefings because he was "just a lowly researcher and analyst" and worked only with documents and photographs. He said he "knew neither one" of two people the memorandum mentions being

briefed, William T. Forsyth of the FBI and Capt. William Edwards of the DIA. "So it wasn't me," he said.

George William Bush said he left the CIA because he was offered a job by the DIA at a higher grade and salary, and he stayed until joining the Social Security Administration in January 1968. He professed he was "a little bit amazed, but not entirely surprised" that the CIA and the DIA divulged his employment with them. "I didn't know they were at liberty to release all this. It was certainly without advance notice," he said, adding that he had not known of the story in the *Nation* about George Bush's alleged 1963 ties with the CIA.

The Social Security Administration confirmed that *this* George Bush was currently employed in its Arlington, Virginia office, and verified other points in his story, particularly aspects of his life prior to working with the CIA. In addition, George William Bush repeated his story to Victor Navasky, editor of the *Nation*, reiterating that he was *not* the man referred to in the FBI memorandum.

Why would the CIA indicate that this man was the George Bush in the Hoover memo without any attempt to locate him, and why would the media repeat the Agency's version without checking? Where was George Herbert Walker Bush on November 22 and 23, 1963? Was he working for the CIA then?

While the full extent of his role is vague, the newly discovered FBI document places Bush in Miami, recruiting right-wing Cuban exiles for the invasion of Cuba.

Bush lived in Houston, Texas, hopping to Miami weekly. Bush spent 1960 and '61 recruiting Cubans in Miami for the invasion. That is how he met Felix Rodriguez, who became part of a special CIA shooter team, which was coordinated out of a secret, triangular-fire training base in Mexico, maintained by the domestic counter-intelligence Division Five of the FBI.<sup>8</sup>

Felix Rodriguez was the Iran-Contra CIA agent who told the world in October 1986 that the CIA plane flown by Gene Hasenfus had crashed in Nicaragua. As soon as Rodriguez heard that the plane crashed, he called Samuel Watson, staff aide to his CIA supervisor, Donald Gregg, who was now National Security Adviser to the Vice President, Bush.

Bush has denied being in the contra "loop", but investigators recently obtained copies of Oliver North's diary, which documents Bush's role as a CIA supervisor of the contra-supply network. Aiding the contras was President Reagan's major anti-Communist thrust. In 1988, Bush told Congress he knew nothing about the illegal supply flights until late November 1986, yet North's diary shows Bush at the first planning meeting on August 6, 1985.

Bush's "official" log placed him somewhere else (see chapter 4). Such double sets of logs have long been used to hide Bush's real role in the CIA to provide "plausible deniability". The problem is, it failed, because too many people, like North and Rodriguez, kept records that show Bush's role back to the 1961 invasion of Cuba.<sup>9</sup>

The discovery of the Hoover memo, stating that, "*Mr. George Bush of the Central Intelligence Agency*" had been briefed on November 23, 1963 about the reaction of anti-Castro Cuban exiles in Miami to the assassination of Kennedy, however, stirs speculation as to what Bush had been doing during this time.

It is believed by many, that Bush was in Texas the day before but, like Nixon and Hoover, he has denied it. It has been reported that Nixon and Hoover *were* in Dallas the night before, at the ranch of Texas oil baron Cohn J. "Clint" Murchison, Jr. A newspaper article described Nixon's stay at the Baker Hotel in Dallas, ostensibly for a Pepsi-Cola executives' convention.

Retired Brig. Gen. Penn Jones reported that Murchison, Hoover and others met at Murchison's house in Dallas on November 21, 1963.<sup>10</sup>

Within hours of Kennedy's death, a man identifying himself as "George H.W. Bush" telephoned the FBI's Houston office with information about a threat allegedly made against Kennedy by a young, right-wing Republican.

The FBI report concerning this call was made public in the late 1970s, as a result of lawsuits under the *Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)*. It stated that "On November 22, 1963, Mr. George H.W. Bush, 5525 Briar, Houston, Texas, telephonically advised...that one, James Parrott has been talking of killing the President when he comes to Houston."

According to Nixon's biography, his personal and political ties with the Bush family go back to 1946, when Nixon claims he read an ad placed in an L.A. newspaper by the Orange County Republican Party and a wealthy group of businessmen, led by Prescott Bush, the father of George Bush.

They wanted a young candidate to run for Congress. Nixon applied and won the job, becoming a mouthpiece for the Bush group, progressing to the U.S. Senate and, in 1952, the vice presidency.<sup>11</sup>

In 1960, Vice President Nixon was scouring the world seeking the presidency. At his side was Prescott Bush. Congressman Gerald Ford was helping raise funds, as was George Bush.

It took Nixon eight more years to reach his goal. And the canny politician always remembered who helped him get there. So, again, it was payback time for George Bush. Nixon appointed him Chairman of the Republican National Committee and, later, Ambassador to China.

By 1976, Ford, who succeeded Nixon after Watergate, paid his due bill. He picked out a big job for his old crony, Bush: in the CIA. But this time Bush would not be an underling. Now he would be the head man.

<sup>1</sup> Allen Barrio and Ty Burr, *JFK*, *Entertainment Weekly*, January 17, 1992, p.14

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*, p.16

<sup>3</sup> Theresa A, *Three Men and a Barge*, *Common Cause*, March-April, 1990, and Frank Sturgis interview, *San Francisco Chronicle*, May 7, 1977, in which he stated that "the reason we burglarized the Watergate was because Nixon was interested in stopping news leaking relating to the photos of our role in the assassination of President John Kennedy."

<sup>4</sup> Sidney Blumenthal, *Pledging Allegiance*

<sup>5</sup> Bush, in fact, did work directly with the anti-Castro Cuban groups in Miami before and after the Bay of Pigs invasion, using his company, Zapata Oil, as a corporate cover for his activities on behalf of the Agency. Records at the University of Miami, where the operations were based for several years, show that George Bush was present during this time period.

<sup>6</sup> George Bush with Victor Gold, *Looking Forward* (New York: Doubleday, 1987), p.70

<sup>7</sup> Joseph McBride, *Nation*, July 23, 1988, p.37, pp. 41-42

<sup>8</sup> William Torbitt, *Nomenclature of an Assassination Cabal*, also referred to as "the Torbitt Document". Reference from the case files of Bill Alcorn, Special Assistant Attorney General of Texas, concerning the murder by two such assassins in 1952 of 19-year-old Buddy Floyd, son of Jake Floyd, District Judge in Alice, Texas, the actual target of the assassination.

<sup>9</sup> *Washington Post*, January 10, 1990

<sup>10</sup> William Penn Jones, *Forgive My Grief*, Volumes I-IV

<sup>11</sup> Fletcher Prouty, *Freedom magazine*, 1986

# President Kennedy, The Federal Reserve And Executive Order 11110

*The following article is reprinted from The Final Call, Vol. 15, No. 6, January 17, 1996. We honor and appreciate the author, Cedric X., for this lucid connection of Kennedy's assassination to his efforts to bring about changes to the monetary system of the United States of America.*

**By Cedric X.**

On June 4, 1963, a little known attempt was made to strip the Federal Reserve Bank of its power to loan money to the government at interest. On that day President John F. Kennedy signed Executive Order No. 11110 that returned to the U.S. Government the power to issue currency, without going through the Federal Reserve. Mr. Kennedy's order gave the Treasury the power "to issue silver certificates against any silver bullion, silver, or standard silver dollars in the Treasury". This meant that for every ounce of silver in the U.S. Treasury's vault, the government could introduce new money into circulation. In all, Kennedy brought nearly \$4.3 billion in U.S. notes into circulation. The ramifications of this bill [Executive Order] are enormous.

With the stroke of a pen, Mr. Kennedy was on his way to putting the Federal Reserve Bank of New York out of business. If enough of these silver certificates were to come into circulation they would have eliminated the demand for Federal Reserve notes. This is because the silver certificates are backed by silver and the Federal Reserve notes are not backed by anything. Executive Order 11110 could have prevented the national debt from reaching its current level, because it would have given the government the ability to repay its debt without going to the Federal Reserve and being charged interest in order to create the new money. Executive Order 11110 gave the U.S. the ability to create its own money, backed by silver.

After Mr. Kennedy was assassinated just five months later, no more silver certificates were issued. *The Final Call* has learned that the Executive Order was never repealed by any U.S. President through an Executive Order and is still valid. Why then has no president utilized it? Virtually all of the nearly \$6 trillion in debt has been created since 1963, and if a U.S. president had utilized Executive Order 11110, the debt would be nowhere near the current level. Perhaps the assassination of JFK was a warning to future presidents who would think to eliminate the U.S. debt by eliminating the Federal Reserve's control over the creation of money. Mr. Kennedy challenged the government of money by challenging the two most successful vehicles that have ever been used to drive up debt—war and the creation of money by a privately-owned central bank. His efforts to have all troops out of Vietnam by 1965 and Executive Order 11110 would have severely cut into the profits and control of the New York banking establishment. As America's debt reaches unbearable levels and a conflict emerges in Bosnia that will further increase America's debt, one is forced to ask, will President Clinton have the courage to consider

utilizing Executive Order 11110 and, if so, is he willing to pay the ultimate price for doing so?

## Executive Order 11110

AMENDMENT OF EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. 10289

AS AMENDED, RELATING TO THE PERFORMANCE OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONS AFFECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

By virtue of the authority vested in me by section 301 of title 3 of the United States Code, it is ordered as follows:

Section 1. Executive Order No. 10289 of September 19, 1951, as amended, is hereby further amended—

a. By adding at the end of paragraph 1 thereof the following subparagraph: (j) The authority vested in the President by paragraph (b) of section 43 of the Act of May 12, 1933, as amended (31 U.S.C.821(b)), to issue silver certificates against any silver bullion, silver, or standard silver dollars in the Treasury not then held for redemption of any outstanding silver certificates, to prescribe the denomination of such silver certificates, and to coin standard silver dollars and subsidiary silver currency for their redemption and—

b. By revoking subparagraphs (b) and (c) of paragraph 2 thereof:

Sec. 2. The amendments made by this Order shall not affect any act done, or any right accruing or accrued or any suit or proceeding had or commenced in any civil or criminal cause prior to the date of this Order but all such liabilities shall continue and may be enforced as if said amendments had not been made.

John F. Kennedy  
The White House,  
June 4, 1963.

Of course, the fact that both JFK and Lincoln met the same end is a mere coincidence. Abraham Lincoln's Monetary Policy, 1865 (Page 91 of Senate document 23.):

"Money is the creature of law and the creation of the original issue of money should be maintained as the exclusive monopoly of the National Government.

"Money possesses no value to the State, other than that given to it by circulation.

"Capital has its proper place and is entitled to every protection. The wages of men should be recognized in the structure of and in the social order as more important than the wages of money.

“No duty is more imperative for the Government than the duty it owes the People to furnish them with a sound and uniform currency, and of regulating the circulation of the medium of exchange, so that labour will be protected from a vicious currency, and commerce will be facilitated by cheap and safe exchanges.

“The available supply of Gold and Silver being wholly inadequate to permit the issuance of coins of intrinsic value or paper currency convertible into coin in the volume required to serve the needs of the People, some other basis for the issue of currency must be developed, and some means other than that of convertibility into coin must be developed to prevent undue fluctuation in the value of paper currency or any other substitute for money of intrinsic value that may come into use.

“The monetary needs of increasing numbers of People advancing towards higher standards of living can and should be met by the Government. Such needs can be served by the issue of National Currency and Credit, through the operation of a National Banking System. The circulation of a medium of exchange—issued and backed by the U.S. Government—can be properly regulated and redundancy of issue avoided by withdrawing from circulation, such amounts as may be necessary by Taxation, Redeposit and otherwise. Government has the power to regulate the currency and credit of the Nation.

“Government should stand behind its currency, credit and the Bank deposits of the Nation. No individual should suffer a loss of money through depreciation, inflated currency or Bank bankruptcy.

“Government, possessing the power to create and issue currency and credit as money—and enjoying the right to withdraw both currency and credit from circulation by Taxation and otherwise—need not and should not borrow capital at interest, as a means of financing Governmental work and public enterprise. The Government should create, issue, and circulate all the currency and credit needed to satisfy the spending power of the Government and the buying power of the consumers. The privilege of creating and issuing money is not only the supreme prerogative of Government, but it is the Government’s greatest creative opportunity.

“By the adoption of these principles, the long-felt want for a uniform medium will be satisfied. The taxpayers will be saved immense sums of interest, discounts, and exchanges. The financing of all public enterprise, the maintenance of stable Government and ordered progress, and the conduct of the Treasury will become matters of practical administration. The people can and will be furnished with a currency as safe as their own Government. Money will cease to be master and will become the servant of humanity. Democracy will rise superior to the money power.”

#### THE FEDERAL RESERVE, A PRIVATE CORPORATION

One of the most common concerns among people who engage in any effort to reduce their taxes is, “Will keeping my money hurt the government’s ability to pay its bills?” As explained in the first article in this series, the modern withholding tax does not, and wasn’t designed to, pay for government services. What it does do, is pay for the privately-owned Federal Reserve System.

*Black’s Law Dictionary* defines the “Federal Reserve System” as, “Network of twelve central banks to which most national banks belong and to which state-chartered banks may belong. Membership rules require

investment of stock and minimum reserves.”

Privately-owned banks own the stock of the Fed. This was explained in more detail in the case of *Lewis v. United States*, *Federal Reporter*, 2<sup>nd</sup> Series, Vol. 680, Pages 1239, 1241 (1982), where the court said:

Each Federal Reserve Bank is a separate corporation owned by commercial banks in its region. The stock-holding commercial banks elect two-thirds of each Bank’s nine-member board of directors.

Similarly, the Federal Reserve Banks, though heavily regulated, are locally controlled by their member banks. Taking another look at *Black’s Law Dictionary*, we find that these privately-owned banks actually issue money: *Federal Reserve Act*. Law which created Federal Reserve Banks which act as agents in maintaining money reserves, issuing money in the form of bank notes, lending money to banks and supervising banks. Administered by Federal Reserve Board (q.v.).

The FED banks, which are privately owned, actually issue, that is, create the money we use. In 1964, the House Committee on Banking and Currency, Subcommittee on Domestic Finance, at the Second Session of the 88<sup>th</sup> Congress, put out a study entitled “Money Facts”, which contains a good description of what the FED is:

The Federal Reserve is a total money-making machine. It can issue money or checks. And it never has a problem of making its checks good because it can obtain the \$5 and \$10 bills necessary to cover its check, simply by asking the Treasury Department’s Bureau of Engraving and Printing to print them.

As we all know, anyone who has a lot of money has a lot of power. Now imagine a group of people who have the power to create money. Imagine the power these people would have. This is what the Fed is.

No man did more to expose the power of the Fed than Louis T. McFadden, who was the Chairman of the House Banking Committee back in the 1930s. Constantly pointing out that monetary issues shouldn’t be partisan, he criticized both the Herbert Hoover and Franklin Roosevelt Administrations. In describing the Fed, he remarked in the *Congressional Record*, *House* pages 1295 and 1296 on June 10, 1932:

“Mr. Chairman, we have in this country, one of the most corrupt institutions the world has ever known. I refer to the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks. The Federal Reserve Board, a Government Board, has cheated the Government of the United States and the people of the United States out of enough money to pay the national debt. The depredations and the iniquities of the Federal Reserve Board and the Federal Reserve Banks acting together have cost this country enough money to pay the national debt several times over. This evil institution has impoverished and ruined the people of the United States; has bankrupted itself and has practically bankrupted our Government. It has done this through the maladministration of that law by which the Federal Reserve Board operates, and through the corrupt practices of the moneyed vultures who control it.

“Some people think the Federal Reserve Banks are United States Government institutions. They are not Government institutions. They are private credit monopolies that prey upon the people of the United States for the benefit of themselves and their foreign customers, foreign and domestic speculators and swindlers, and rich and predatory moneylenders. In that dark crew of financial pirates, there are those who would cut a man’s throat to get a dollar out of his pocket; there are those who send money into States to

buy votes to control our legislation; and there are those who maintain international propaganda for the purpose of deceiving us and of wheedling us into the granting of new concessions which will permit them to cover up their past misdeeds and set again in motion their gigantic train of crime. Those 12 private credit monopolies were deceitfully and disloyally foisted upon this country by bankers who came here from Europe and who repaid us for our hospitality by undermining our American institutions.”

The Fed basically works like this: The government granted its power to create money to the Federal Reserve Banks. They create money, then loan it back to the government, charging interest. The government levies income taxes to pay the interest on the debt. On this point, it’s interesting to note that the Federal Reserve Act and the *Sixteenth Amendment*, which gave Congress the power to collect income taxes, were both passed in 1913. The incredible power of the Fed over the economy is universally admitted. Some people, especially in the banking and academic communities, even support it. On the other hand, there are those, both in the past and in the present, that speak out against it. One of these men was President John F. Kennedy. His efforts were detailed in Jim Marrs’ 1990 book, *Crossfire*:

“Another overlooked aspect of Kennedy’s attempt to reform American society involves money. Kennedy apparently reasoned that by returning to the *Constitution*, which states that only Congress shall coin and regulate money, the soaring national debt could be reduced by not paying interest to the bankers of the Federal Reserve System, who print paper money then loan it to the government at interest. He moved in this area on June 4, 1963, by signing Executive Order 11110, which called for the issuance of \$4,292,893,815 in United States Notes through the U.S. Treasury, rather than the traditional Federal Reserve System. That same day, Kennedy signed a bill changing the backing of one and two-dollar bills from silver to gold, adding strength to the weakened U.S. currency.

“Kennedy’s Comptroller of the Currency, James J. Saxon, had been at odds with the powerful Federal Reserve Board for some time, encouraging broader investment and lending powers for banks that were not part of the Federal Reserve System. Saxon also had decided that non-Reserve banks could underwrite state and local general-obligation bonds, again, weakening the dominant Federal Reserve Banks.”

A number of “Kennedy bills” were, indeed, issued—the author has a five-dollar bill in his possession with the heading “United States Note”—but were quickly withdrawn after Kennedy’s death. According to information from the Library of the Comptroller of the Currency, Executive Order 11110 remains in effect today, although successive administrations—beginning with that of President Lyndon Johnson—apparently have simply ignored it and instead returned to the practice of paying interest on Federal Reserve Notes. Today we continue to use Federal Reserve Notes, and the deficit is at an all-time high.

The point being made is that the IRS taxes you pay aren’t used for government services. It won’t hurt you, or the nation, to legally reduce or eliminate your tax liability.

#### KENNEDY’S SOLUTION

President Kennedy recognized that our financial system was flawed. He planned to eliminate the Federal Reserve’s contrived control mechanism on our future. He signed Executive Order 11110 as a first step.

# The News Desk

By John Ray

## DRUGGING KIDS: NO EASY FIX

By Ann Schrader, *Denver Post*, 11/22/99

The debate about whether [or not] it is wise or even necessary to medicate children who are hyperactive, depressed or have other psychiatric conditions has been around for decades....

...What is new, according to those engaged in the debate and those watching it, is school violence and the need to find answers and solutions. Both sides say, at its best, the debate will lead to some of these answers....

...Those skeptical of the drugs say they have been vilified for even bringing up the issue. Those who believe drugs such as Ritalin are a useful treatment say the search for easy answers is obscuring science and research.

"A lot of this is related to the post-Columbine situation," said Dr. Jennifer Hagman, Medical Director of Children's Hospital's in-patient psychiatric unit....

...Earlier this month, both an informal committee of state legislators and the Colorado Board of Education listened to testimony on psychiatric medications and a suggested link between drugs such as Ritalin and Luvox and school shootings across the country.

The legislative group, headed by state Rep. Penn Pfiffner, R-Lakewood, had no power and could only gather information. The state education board passed a resolution presented by board member Patti Johnson that warns of the dangers of psychiatric drugs, but has no power of law....

...Pfiffner and Johnson...Both say they became concerned about children on psychiatric medications several years ago and believe it's an issue that needs to be explored.

"We haven't grappled with the policy issues," Pfiffner said. "I don't know what the answer is. I'm not a doctor."

Johnson said she has heard from parents who felt forced by schools to put their children on Ritalin.

"As an elected official, I had received complaints from parents, and it was my duty to bring it to the board," Johnson said. The Colorado Board of Education passed a resolution Nov. 11 that says the board recognizes there is "much concern" about psychiatric medications and their impact on student achievement. The resolution urges schools to use "proven" classroom methods to resolve behavior, attention and learning difficulties, and encourages better communication and education about psychotropic drugs.

Hagman and other mental-health professionals contend that the last few years have brought greater understanding of conditions such as Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder and treatments that help manage symptoms.

"It's very short-sighted to say that the medications are to blame for all the problems," Hagman said. "These kids who are on medications are sometimes the ones who are acting out, but the medications are not causing that. The underlying mental condition is, and they aren't fully treated." Hagman said she hopes that the silver lining in this latest round of discussions is more attention to the need for every child with problems to have a thorough psychiatric assessment.

Discussion also should revolve around how to help schools work with children with special needs, Hagman

said....Those in the mental-health field say drugs are not always the answer.

Around the country, the evidence is mounting that violence can be substantially reduced with smaller high schools, violence education, giving kids attention and helping them handle conflict, said Jeanne Mueller Rohner, Executive Director of the Mental Health Association of Colorado.

"We agree with finding the cause of violence in schools. That's a huge issue, but we'd like to have it done in an open and fair way with good scientific data," Rohner said.

A big concern is that only a third of the school children who need help are getting it, Rohner said, and the education board's resolution could have a chilling effect on more children being evaluated and helped.

An expert who is a bit less charitable about Johnson's and Pfiffner's motivations and recent activities is Dr. William Dodson, a psychiatry professor at the University of Colorado Health Sciences Center.

"Some grandstanding politicians gave some very dangerous people a forum," Dodson said. Dodson said that instead of "working on the fear in the community", it would be better to focus on the "growing mountain of evidence" that supports medications as a treatment for aggressive, violent kids.

Johnson, who represents Jefferson, Adams, Gilpin, Clear Creek and Boulder counties on the board of education, said she has been interested in psychotropic drugs for about 15 years. That's when a friend told her "a teacher had put her son on drugs". "I was mad," said Johnson. She began reading books and doing research on the Internet.

Beginning in 1994, when she was elected to the education board, she brought up the issue of children on psychotropic drugs.

Then, three months ago, she decided to do something that would "shine a light on the issue" and proposed the resolution.

Pfiffner said he became interested in the subject more than four years ago. A neighbor boy who was taking Ritalin walked on his family's deck with a shotgun and fired, said Pfiffner, whose children ran indoors and were unhurt.

"He hit a house, a tree and a fence," Pfiffner said. "The general talk was that he was a good kid and the drugs were wrong for him." But Pfiffner wasn't sure he agreed.

Then came Columbine. Pfiffner said that in addition to Columbine killer Eric Harris taking the antidepressant Luvox, school shooters in Idaho, Georgia and Oregon were reported to have been taking Ritalin.

By early last summer, Pfiffner said, he was thinking about the coincidence of shootings and reports of medications. About the same time, a slick magazine from the Citizens Commission on Human Rights International, which was founded 30 years ago by the Church of Scientology, was sent to state legislators.

The packet raised the question about a possible link between psychiatry and school violence. Pfiffner said it made him back off from holding a forum on the subject in early July.

"I was not going to be a front for the Church of Scientology," Pfiffner said. "I shut everything down. I have been around enough to be cautious about the Scientologists." Pfiffner said this fall he decided to renew the forum idea and sent letters to experts that he said he thought would present a balanced view.

Pfiffner, who has a background in economics and finance, runs his own company, Construction Economics. He sits on the finance and state affairs committees in the Colorado House. Neither committee is likely to take up the medication issue, but Pfiffner believes the issue will come up in the next legislative session.

On a national level, the debate may have only just begun. Columbine was a "spiritual holocaust for this country," said Bruce Wiseman, national president of the Scientologist-founded Citizens Committee on Human Rights International.

Colorado is the first place where his group was contacted to come and present views, Wiseman said. He spoke at Pfiffner's hearing and before the state education board in October.

"We don't intend for this to be periodic," Wiseman said. "This is an issue that won't go away. We can't be drugging millions of schoolchildren, which incites violence and suicide."...

...Matt Cohen, president of the national Children and Adults with Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder, or CHADD, said it's important to have "thoughtful discussion on important policy issues". Rhetoric of those making a link between violence in the schools and psychiatric drugs is attractive, Cohen said. "It's easy to look for a quick-and-dirty scapegoat about what's happened in some schools," he said.

Medications are only part of an answer for children who have been identified and diagnosed with problems, Cohen said. Counseling, behavior-management techniques and school intervention also help.

Cohen thinks the debate will die down and recur intermittently, but he said the debate should be in the scientific community and not on the political stage.

"Political debate obscures the things we do know, with everyone polarizing their situations," Cohen said.

**[JR: I believe this issue should be brought to the attention of parents nationally and NOT confined to Colorado. It also should not be controlled by those superior experts that don't like what they consider dangerous public ignorance having any influence over their professional diagnosis.]**

## DEMOCRACIES PAYING THE PRICE

By R.C. Longworth, *ChicagoTribune*, 11/19/99  
Survey Reveals U.S. Corporations Prefer Dictatorships

American businesses may say they believe in democracy, but they are not putting their money where their mouth is, according to a report by a Washington-based think tank.

Democratic countries in the developing sector, such as Poland and South Africa, are losing out in the race for American export markets and American foreign investment. Dictatorships such as China or semi-dictatorships such as Indonesia are winning.

And the trend is growing. As more of the world's countries adopt democracy, more American businesses appear to prefer dictatorships.

If trade and investment strengthen developing countries, then U.S. businesses may be weakening the very countries they say they most want to help.

These are the conclusions of a report recently released by the New Economy Information Service (NEIS), a think tank set up to gauge the effects of globalization.

"The democratic countries in the developing world are losing ground to more authoritarian countries, when it comes to competing for U.S. trade and investment dollars," NEIS said.

"This finding," it said, "raises the question of whether foreign purchasing and investment decisions by U.S. corporations may be inadvertently undermining the chances for survival of fragile democracies."

NEIS compiled the report using U.S. government and World Bank figures on trade and investment. It borrowed political ratings compiled by Freedom House, a human-rights organization that ranks countries as "free", "partly free" or "not free", based on the level of their political rights and civil liberties.

In 1989, when the Cold War ended, democratic countries accounted for more than half—53.4 percent—of all U.S. imports from Third World countries, not counting oil. Today, with more democracies to choose from, the democratic countries supply barely one-third—34.9 percent—of U.S. imports from the Third World, it said.

After the same decade, democracies got 28 percent of American manufacturing investment in developing countries, up from 26.2 percent when the Cold War ended. This slight improvement, 1.8 percentage points, paled beside the 5.7 percentage-point growth in U.S. investment reported by dictatorships, especially China.

China, which ranked 18<sup>th</sup> among recipients of U.S. investment in 1989, is in fourth place now, ahead of long-established democratic partners such as Argentina and South Korea.

The NEIS report asked why dictatorships are outbidding democracy for the American market, but said it does not know...

... "Something is going on, and it's worth pursuing," said NEIS Executive Director David Jessup. "We can't say that U.S. businesses have an absolute preference for authoritarian countries. I doubt that the issue of democracy-or-no-democracy is on businessmen's minds when they make an investment decision. But maybe it's an unconscious preference."

Wages tend to be lower in dictatorships than in democracies, giving businesses in dictatorships an advantage on selling exports abroad. The investment question is more complex than that, Jessup said, but the report suggested a combination of factors—lower wages, easier environmental laws, bans on labor unions—give dictatorships an edge.

Such rulers tend to be strong leaders who can provide quick decisions, deliver results and stamp out opposition. These qualities can appeal to many business leaders, who themselves operate in a non-democratic structure.

When Indonesia overthrew its dictator, Suharto, and installed a less authoritarian leader, investors tended to sit on their hands. One currency expert, Ron Leven of J.P. Morgan, was quoted as saying that "Democracy is a desirable form of government, but it's not necessarily the most efficient form of government."

There is an "amorality" here, said Thomas I. Palley, Assistant Director of Public Policy at the AFL-CIO and a member of the NEIS team. "Profits and morality don't mix very well."

Palley noted that dictators, not having to answer to voters or a legislature, can often deliver investment incentives—such as tax breaks, freedom from environmental laws and a docile work force—that are powerful lures for foreign corporations.

But the U.S. government is part of the reason democracies come up short in luring investors, Palley said. "It says that, if you deal with these guys (dictators), you make them more open. This provides the moral reasoning that businessmen want."

The result is a boom in investment and trade with China in the interest of "engaging" the Communist regime there.

Four countries: Brazil, Mexico, Malaysia and China account for 67.6 percent of all American investment in Third World manufacturing. According to Freedom House, China is "not free" and the other three are only "partly free".

Meanwhile, such "free" countries as Taiwan, Thailand, Chile, Costa Rica, the Philippines and Bulgaria lag far behind.

Because of Mexico and Brazil, the "partly free" countries lead the other two categories among America's economic partners. Over the past 10 years, both the "partly free" and "not free" countries have gained in their share of the American market, NEIS said, while the "free" countries have gone steadily downhill.

If these countries' share of Third World exports have slid from 53.4 percent to 34.9 percent, their share of high-profit manufactured exports has fallen even further, from 56.7 percent in 1989 to 35.1 percent now.

It is not as though there is a shortage of democracies to do business with. According to Freedom House, 24 countries—including such Central-American neighbors as Panama and El Salvador and ex-Communist industrial nations such as Poland and the Czech Republic—have become "free" countries in the past decade.

Another 22, including Russia and Ukraine, have moved up from "not free" to "partly free".

**[JR: My guess is that business and government prefer to deal with dictators because you can do "Fast Track" negotiations with little conscience as to how it might impact the country or its people. It's a win-win situation and very profitable. Democracies, like dictatorships, are just as inefficient and wasteful, but are more complex systems, which makes doing business more difficult.]**

#### THOUSANDS DEMONSTRATE IN GREECE AGAINST U.S.

By Ray Moseley, *ChicagoTribune*, 11/18/99

ATHENS—A rising tide of anti-Americanism in Greece brought thousands onto the streets Wednesday night to denounce President Clinton for the bombing of Yugoslavia and to demand that he stay out of Greece. The demonstrators, estimated at between 15,000 and 25,000, marched to the U.S. Embassy in a foretaste of the protests that await the president when he arrives Friday evening for a visit that has been delayed and shortened to just 22 hours because of a dispute between the U.S. and Greek governments.

"No to the butcher of the Balkans!" the demonstrators shouted. "Go home!" They repeatedly chanted "Clinton, fascist, Clinton, killer", reflecting Greek anger over U.S.-led NATO bombing of Yugoslavia last spring to end its purge of ethnic Albanians in Kosovo Province.

Hundreds of riot police, backed by a line of police buses, stood guard in front of the embassy, one of the most frequently besieged U.S. missions in the world. During the 78 days of bombing last spring, the embassy was the target of 52 anti-American demonstrations.

The demonstration marked the 26<sup>th</sup> anniversary of a bloody student uprising against the former Greek military

junta, and each year the demonstrations take on an anti-American character. Many Greeks have never forgiven the U.S. for having supported the Greek colonels who overthrew democracy in 1967 and ruled until 1974.

Clinton's visit originally was scheduled for last Saturday and Sunday, before his visit this week to Turkey, Greece's historic adversary. But a stalemate developed when Greek authorities backed down on previous assurances to the U.S. that demonstrators would not be allowed to dog the President's footsteps.

The stalemate arose because the Communist Party, one of the last unreformed Stalinist parties in the world, and other small leftist groups insisted on marching to the U.S. Embassy while Clinton was there.

The impasse was broken only when the government reversed course again and promised to keep demonstrators well away from the President. But in the interval the visit had to be delayed nearly a week and cut from more than two days to just 22 hours, leaving the Americans upset and many Greeks blaming their government for a calculated discourtesy to Greece's most important ally.

It marked the first known instance of an American president having to postpone a visit to a West European country because of concerns for his safety.

"The way the Greek side has handled this is stupid," said Miltiades Evert, former head of the conservative New Democracy Party. "It's unbelievable that this should happen for a visit by a president of the United States."

Theodossis Tassios, an Athens Polytechnic University professor, said: "I am convinced the majority of people in this country are not in favor of the image we are presenting, of a country that does not respect traditional hospitality. To act like this when the most important personality on this globe is entering our country is unacceptable and can badly affect our interests."

The debacle has been a major embarrassment for Socialist Prime Minister Costas Simitis, who has been trying to restore Greece to the European mainstream, attract American investment and tourism and reap benefits from Greece's role as host to the Olympic Games in 2004.

Behind the near snub to Clinton, lie many years of troubled relations between the U.S. and Greece. Some diplomats have described Greece as the most anti-American country in Western Europe.

Many Greeks would quarrel with that and blame a leftist minority for fomenting trouble. But there is little doubt American policy has angered a vast segment of the population over the years, most recently last spring, when the country was wracked by anti-American demonstrations because of Yugoslavia.

On the U.S. side, the current wrangle has brought out smoldering resentment about Greece's failure to crush a Marxist-Leninist terrorist group that in the last 26 years has killed 22 people, including four U.S. officials and one Greek employee of the U.S. Embassy, and wounded 70...

... "There is no serious political will to suppress terrorism," former Supreme Court President Vasilis Kokkinos said Monday in a radio interview. Several years ago, he said, police believed they had found typewriters used by terrorist to prepare communiques, but government officials refused to allow police to run tests on the typewriters and their ribbons...

...Greece is a country with long ties to the U.S. and is a partner in NATO. After World War II, President Harry S. Truman poured in aid and military advisers to help defeat the communists in a civil war, and Marshall Plan aid later helped rebuild Greece and other West European countries.

But the relationship took on an almost proconsular



quality, with the U.S. operating five major military bases in Greece and American ambassadors sitting in on Greek Cabinet meetings for years. That may have marked the beginning of Greek resentment toward the U.S.

Ties became seriously frayed after the Greek colonels' coup in 1967. There is no evidence the U.S. assisted the coup, but the colonels later won the open backing of President Richard Nixon and his then-National Security Adviser, Henry Kissinger. Nixon's Greek-American Vice President, Spiro Agnew, came to Athens and publicly threw his arms around dictator George Papadopoulos, an act many Greeks have not forgotten.

The colonels remained in power until 1974, the same year Turkish troops occupied northern Cyprus and divided that island between Turkish Cypriot and Greek Cypriot sectors.

Greeks believed the U.S. had the power to prevent the Turkish incursion and failed to do so. That fostered Greek suspicions that the U.S. was on Turkey's side in territorial disputes in the Aegean Sea between the two countries.

Andreas Papandreu, the fiery Socialist Prime Minister of Greece for 12 years starting in 1981, fanned the flames of anti-Americanism, courted anti-American regimes in Iraq and Libya and made Greece a center of leftist radicalism in Europe.

The NATO bombing campaign of Serbia last spring brought Greek anti-Americanism to a boil. While the U.S. justified the bombing as necessary to halt Yugoslav leader Slobodan Milosevic's brutality toward his country's Kosovo population, Greeks tended to view it differently.

Historically, Greece and Serbia have enjoyed close relations, bound together by a common Orthodox religion and a common history in fighting Turks and Nazis. Many Greeks believed the Serbian people were being unfairly punished for Milosevic's crimes.

Secondly, they worried about the implications of detaching Kosovo from Yugoslavia. Because of their own border disputes with Turkey, they regard European borders as inviolate and suspected Washington of wanting to create an independent Kosovo.

The country exploded in anti-American demonstrations. "Greeks do have a feeling they have been mistreated in international affairs," said government spokesman Dimitris Reppas. "But nobody ever thought that could be a shadow on Greek-American relations..."

...On the eve of Clinton's visit, there have been some positive developments in the region. Greece and Turkey offered help to each other after earthquakes in both countries, and that led to a resumption of official talks not held for some years.

They also agreed to open talks Dec. 3 on resolving their differences over Cyprus.

Clinton's remarks in Turkey, urging that country to take risks and initiatives toward peace with Greece, also played well in the Greek press....

...One positive result of Clinton's visit is expected to be strong American support for Greece's desire to play a larger role in restoring stability to the Balkan region.

Greece has pledged \$328 million toward an international fund for Balkan stability, more than any other country.

**[JR: Why the U.S. outrage—because the Greek people don't want a visit from our President? If 90% of them were ignored by their government, as to Greek involvement in Kosovo, one must assume the people are demonstrating against their own government as well as ours. Maybe they just don't trust Clinton and think he is the NWO "Trojan Horse".]**

## RUSSIAN FORCES PUSH FOR LARGER ROLE

By Sergiusz Morenc, *ABCNEWS.com*, 11/18/99

MOSCOW—The last 10 years have not been good for the Russian military. After a prolonged and highly unsuccessful campaign in Afghanistan and withdrawals from Eastern Europe and the Baltics, the military went into a spiraling decline.

Hardships brought about by Russia's turbulent economic and political changes were especially painful for men in uniforms. Salaries and benefits went unpaid for months on end, while former officers who'd been let go swelled the ranks of the unemployed.

Rumors and allegations of widespread corruption in the highest echelons of the armed forces also did little to boost morale.

The disastrous adventure in Chechnya from 1994-1996—when forces were beaten back by what was essentially a group of guerrillas—was probably the lowest point in Russian military history since the early defeats suffered by Stalin's Red Army during Hitler's invasion of the Soviet Union in 1941.

### **A New Influence**

In the last two years, the Russian Army has not fared much better. Continuing financial shortages have curbed training programs, kept ships mothballed in their ports and limited the average annual flight-time of combat pilots to 20 hours or less.

All this changed dramatically in 1999, following NATO's campaign in Yugoslavia. The military finally got President Boris Yeltsin's attention and seemed to have convinced him of a looming Western threat to Russia's national security and interests.

What followed was a pattern of events clearly aimed at re-establishing the military as one of the most important and influential forces in shaping Kremlin politics:

\*Contradicting previous international understandings, the Russians launched a convoy from Bosnia and occupied Pristina Airport in Kosovo, even as NATO-led troops were preparing to head toward the area. Yeltsin signaled his immediate approval and bestowed high military decorations on the commanders responsible....

\*On June 21, Russia conducted extensive, six-day war games code-named "West '99", the first such exercises since 1991. Working scenarios, in Ministry of Defense language, called for "repulsing aggression from the West", and "defeating the advancing enemy".

In the closing stage of "West '99", Russian strategic bombers had to be chased away by NATO planes close to allied air space off the coasts of Norway and Iceland.

\*On Sept. 18, two Tu-95MS, a.k.a. "Bear" long-haul bombers, were intercepted and turned back by U.S. planes near Alaska, the first such incident in more than six years.

In September, October and, most recently, on Nov. 17, Russia conducted tests of long-range Intercontinental Ballistic Missiles, firing the ICBMs from its Baikonur base and from nuclear submarines and hitting designated targets in Kamchatka.

The second of these tests, on Oct. 20, was clearly meant to demonstrate Russia's strategic capability and silence opinions about its aging nuclear potential: The SS-19 launched that day had been resting in its silo for 25 years.

\*Russia is in the process of repossessing eight TU-160 and two TU-95MS strategic bombers from Ukraine (as partial payment of Kiev's debts from Russian natural gas deliveries).

\*Air Force commander Col.-Gen. Anatoly Kornukov

recently confirmed earlier reports that next year, Russian bombers will fly "training missions" to Vietnam and undisclosed "Atlantic" locations. (There are no plans to fly such missions to Cuba, which would have infuriated Washington.)

\*In September, the military embarked on by far its most ambitious project, launching its second war against the breakaway republic of Chechnya. In contrast to the bungled 1994-1996 campaign, which failure was partly blamed on the indecisiveness of politicians and poor preparation, the military seems to be in total control and completely ready to deal with the Chechen rebels.

When Kremlin moderates tried to soften Russia's image and slow down military actions—following strikes that resulted in heavy civilian casualties and forced thousands of Chechens into seeking refuge in neighboring Ingushetia—Russian commanders quickly warned Yeltsin that unless they were given a free hand, there would be a mass exodus of officers of all ranks from the armed forces.

Any attempt by politicians to intercede in the fighting and prevent the military from achieving victory, the commanders reportedly told Yeltsin, would be viewed as betrayal and could drive Russia to the brink of civil war.

Yeltsin quickly backed his generals. This morning, speaking at the Istanbul, Turkey summit of the Organization of Security Cooperation in Europe, Yeltsin bluntly told his international audience that they have no right to criticize Russia's handling of Chechnya.

### **Role Seems Assured**

Most analysts in Russia firmly believe that the role of the military here will continue to expand in the coming months. The majority of Russians strongly support military actions in Chechnya and the success of the current campaign has boosted Prime Minister Vladimir Putin's popularity beyond expectations.

With a little over seven months to go before presidential elections, the Kremlin seems more than willing to grant the military, significant independence and finances. Unexpectedly high revenues from oil and natural gas exports this year have made it possible for Russia to expand assignments to the military, and finance the war in Chechnya without seeking additional foreign loans.

That, plus the military's new assertiveness, could easily assure it an even stronger role in the months to come.

**[JR: The training and military exercises are wake-up calls for the West. Russia has made it known that it is not happy with NATO's expansion to the East. Add to that Norway buying military hardware and the U.S. State Department calling its diplomats and families home from Russia, supposedly due to Y2K problems.]**

## A WORLD AT WAR

Source: AP, News Reports

Ten years after the fall of the Berlin Wall and the end of the Cold War, the world is (supposed to be) a safer place. But it's hardly a peaceful place. Dozens of wars have convulsed the world in this decade, killing millions of people.

**Estimated death tolls in civilian and military casualties in a survey of conflicts in the 1990s:**

**1) Afghanistan: 2 million, 1979-1992.** Soviet-backed coup put pro-Moscow regime in power, backed by more than 100,000 Soviet troops. Rebels drove Soviets out and turned against each other.

**2) Sudan: 1.5 million, 1983-1999.** Amid famine, rebels from Christian, animist south fought against Arab, Muslim north.

3) **Rowanda: 500,000 to 800,000**, 1994. A slaughter of Tutsis or moderate Hutus troops was put down by Tutsi-led rebels.

4) **Angola: 500,000**, 1975-1999. Civil war between government and UNITA rebels erupted after independence from Portugal.

5) **Bosnia: 250,000**, 1991-1995. Military conflict and civilian massacres following breakup of Yugoslavia.

6) **Guatemala: 250,000**, 1960-1996. Civil war.

7) **Liberia: 150,000**, 1989-1997. Rebellion to oust dictatorship.

8) **Burundi: 150,000-250,000**, Tutsis, Hutus have been fighting since the Tutsis' assassination of the first democratically elected president, a Hutu.

9) **Algeria: 75,000**, 1992-1998. An insurgency was sparked when the Army canceled elections that the Islamic Salvation Front was poised to win.

10) **Ethiopia-Eritrea: Casualties unknown**, 1998-1999, border war.

11) **Colombia: 1,200 civilians**, 1998. Violence perpetrated annually by drug traffickers, leftist rebels, right-wing paramilitary squads and wayward soldiers.

12) **Israel-Palestinians: 125,000\***, 1948-1997. Casualties since Israel became a state.

13) **Chechnya: 18,000-100,000**, 1994-1996. Fighting between Russian soldiers and Chechen rebels (renewed fighting due to threat of independence).

14) **Sri Lanka: 57,000**, 1983-1999. Tamil rebels have been fighting for an independent homeland.

15) **Turkey: 37,000**, 1984-1999. Kurdish rebels have been fighting for autonomy.

16) **Sierra Leone: 14,000**, 1992-1999. Rebels backed by ousted junta have been fighting government.

17) **Kosovo: 10,000-15,000**, 1998-1999. Toll from ethnic fighting and allied bombing is widely disputed.

18) **Persian Gulf war: 4,500-45,000**, 1991. Iraqi toll disputed. U.S. says 147 Americans died during Desert Storm. (U.S. & allied troops continue to have casualties due to Gulf War Illness, officially being denied.)

19) **East Timor: Up to 7,000**, 1999. Indonesian militia rampaged through island after East Timor voted for independence.

20) **Northern Ireland: 3,250**, 1968-1998. Street clashes, bombings and random killings in Catholic-Protestant conflict.

21) **Spain: 800**, 1961-1998. Basque separatists' armed campaign for independence.

\* Estimate from the Center for Defense Information  
**[JR: Despite very selective UN, NATO and U.S. involvement and intervention—not one of these countries are better off today nor are the issues of the conflicts resolved.]**

#### EGYPTAIR PILOT WAS "TRAUMATIZED BY WAR"

By P. Eddy, T. Rhodes and U. Mahnaimi,  
*The Sunday Times* (UK), 11/21/99

The prospect of a multi-billion-dollar lawsuit against EgyptAir strengthened yesterday, after a claim that the co-pilot suspected of sending flight 990 into a catastrophic dive, killing all 217 people on board, suffered traumas as a squadron leader during the 1973 Yom Kippur War.

Gamil al-Batouty, 59, who is thought to have been alone in the cockpit of the Boeing 767 when the emergency began, was said by a friend and fellow veteran to have been affected by wartime incidents that he witnessed as a fighter pilot.

The claim came amid a growing conflict between the

Egyptian and American governments that began last Monday when James Hall, Chairman of the National Transportation Safety Board (NTSB), told Egyptian officials he was recommending that the FBI take over its investigation of the October 31 crash.

That was because analysis of data from the flight recorder had provided Hall's investigators with no explanation for the Boeing 767's sudden dive into the Atlantic, except that it was caused "by human hand".

All the systems and controls monitored by the flight recorder were "rock solid". The cockpit voice-recorder, recovered from the seabed last Sunday, confirmed that no warning signals or alarms had sounded before Batouty pressed the autopilot-disconnect button on his control column. Eight seconds later, the engine throttles were pulled back and the plane, on a flight from New York's John F. Kennedy Airport to Cairo, was pushed into its deadly descent off the coast of Massachusetts, from 33,000 ft.

Egyptian investigators and diplomats protested that the NTSB was "rushing to judgment". They were furious when excerpts from the cockpit voice-recorder were leaked, suggesting that Batouty had said: "I made my decision now. I put my faith in God's hands."

By the end of the week it emerged that the first, and most damning, part of that sentence was not on the tape. It had apparently resulted from a hasty mistranslation of Arabic.

Arabic scholars insist that the phrase Batouty did utter—"Tawakilt ala Allah"—is an expression that peppers conversation in Egypt and has no great significance.

Whatever Batouty said, American investigators believe the evidence shows that his actions condemned the plane, while Egyptian officials insist that other explanations cannot be ruled out until the wreckage is lifted from the sea.

On Friday night, seemingly under pressure from the White House to prevent a full-scale diplomatic crisis, Hall called a press conference to condemn the "cyclone of speculation". He said some of the theories about possible causes were "flat wrong".

One factor may lie in the violent history of the Middle East. Batouty first encountered the horrors of war during the 1967 Arab-Israeli conflict, when Israeli fighters caught much of the Egyptian Air Force on the ground and destroyed it.

It was during the Yom Kippur War six years later, however, that Batouty is said to have been traumatised. The pilot, then a squadron leader, witnessed the decimation of his flight in the skies over the Middle East.

Serving under General Hosni Mubarak—now Egypt's president—Batouty led a successful attack by MIG-21 pilots on Israeli military posts in the Sinai Desert. Within days the Israelis retaliated, shooting down large numbers of his squadron.

"Gamil withdrew into himself after the 1973 war," said a friend, who had previously served with him and asked not to be named. "We all knew how badly he was affected by the war. Every time we met, he talked about the war and the friends that had gone."

In his later military career, the friend said, Batouty had seemed less cautious. "Afterwards, he took too many risks while flying, as if he wanted to join his comrades in death."

Like the rest of Egypt, whose Islamic teachings view suicide as a crime, the friend rejected the notion that his former colleague could have taken his own life. But he did acknowledge that Batouty could have had a temporary lapse of sanity.

"If it is true that he was in charge at the time the

autopilot was switched off, then it must have been a moment of insanity as a result of many years of war trauma and feelings of guilt," he said.

Batouty retired from the Air Force in 1983 with a rank equivalent to wing commander and quickly found a job with EgyptAir, where he was soon viewed as a pilot of very high calibre. Surprise was expressed last week that he never won promotion to captain.

A pilot with more than 5,000 hours of flying time on Boeing 767s, he occasionally griped about his position. However, he enjoyed regular trips to America. As a result, Aya, his 10-year-old daughter, could be treated for lupus erythematosus, an immune disorder, at a clinic in Los Angeles.

Despite the burden of her medical bills, however, there are no indications that the pilot, who was due to retire in March, had any financial concerns. In Egypt he was considered to be a man of means. He earned about £1,500 a month, a considerable amount by Egyptian standards. His family lived in a comfortable flat in Cairo and owned two holiday villas.

Umayma al-Dahi, his widow, told an Egyptian paper last week that her husband had shown no signs of depression. In a telephone call just before the flight, he had said doctors now thought their daughter might be cured. He had even asked to be picked up at Cairo airport by one of his sons because he was carrying as baggage a set of new car tyres.

Journalists in Cairo scrambled to find an alternative theory, postulating on everything from sabotage by the Mossad, the Israeli intelligence service, to an American government cover-up designed to protect Boeing from any liability.

As American and Egyptian investigators work to complete a transcript in Arabic and English from the cockpit voice-recorder for publication tomorrow, American lawyers who specialise in aviation disasters are preparing for what may become an avalanche of lawsuits against EgyptAir.

A New Jersey lawyer became the first to file for \$50m (£31m) damages on behalf of the family of Ghassan Koujan, 38, a Syrian chef who died in the crash. Other attorneys were collecting clients and preparing arguments.

Lee Kreindler, chief counsel for the Lockerbie and TWA 800 families, who has been retained by two clients for the EgyptAir disaster, said that despite talk of billions of dollars in damages, it was unlikely that the courts would award much more than the \$550million eventually paid out to families of Lockerbie victims.

Kreindler said EgyptAir would be hard pressed to avoid liability. Under international aviation agreements, an airline has to show it took "all necessary measures" to avoid an accident. Unless some other explanation emerges, it seems likely that the responsibility will fall on Batouty.

"EgyptAir employed that pilot. They had the opportunity to train and test him," Kreindler said. "The argument that they took all necessary measures is not going to prevail."

**[JR: The spin-doctors have already established the mindset of the American public with the lies about the content on the voice tapes. As Commander Hatonn has told us, this plane was taken out by Montauk time-space displacement equipment. One question totally unaddressed by the mainstream media is: Where are the bodies? I think Commander might know the answer to that one. Of interest, the much-maligned Batouty was a personal pilot and admired friend of Egypt's President Hosni Mubarak, whom he served under during the Yom Kippur War.]**

# New Gaia Products

Order by Mail		1999 Order Form		Order by Phone	
New Gaia Products P.O. Box 27710 Las Vegas, NV 89126				1 (800) NEW-GAIA (639-4242) 1 (702) 263-5181 FAX	
(Please Print)					
Name			Date		
Street Address					
City/Town		State/Prov.		Zip Code	
Daytime Phone No.					
Credit Card No. (Visa, Master Card or Discover)			Expiration Date		
Signature For Credit Card Orders					

**\*\* SHIPPING & HANDLING RATES:**

FOR: CA, WA, OR, AZ, MT, UT, ID, CO, NM, WY, NV		FOR THE REST OF CONTINENTAL USA	
\$ 0-100	\$6.00	\$ 0-100	\$8.00
\$ 101-200	\$7.00	\$ 101-200	\$9.00
\$ 201-300	\$8.00	\$ 201-300	\$10.00
\$ 301-400	\$9.00	\$ 301-400	\$11.00
\$ 401-500	\$10.00	\$ 401-500	\$12.00
\$ 501-600	\$11.00	\$ 501-600	\$13.00

**ALASKA & HAWAII PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES**  
**NOTE:**  
**\*\*** For UPS 2nd day to Rural Alaska, please call for rates.  
**\*\*** For Priority Mail to any locations, please call for rates.  
**\*\*** All Foreign orders, please contact our office in writing for specific rates as rates vary greatly.  
**\*\*** When ordering cases of product call for shipping rates.

**FOR ALL BREAD MACHINES, BREAD MIXES, FLOUR ORDERS, PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES AND MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, CALL FOR SHIPPING COSTS.**

Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount	Item	PRICE PER UNIT	Qty.	Amount
GAIANDRIANA LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00			OXYSOL Trace minerals & Colloidal Silver 2 oz.	\$ 8.00		
	\$ 40.00				\$ 45.00		
AQUAGAIA (Mitochondria) LIQUID 16 oz.	\$ 20.00				\$ 75.00		
	\$ 40.00			GAIACLEANSE KIT 14-DAY PARASITE PROGRAM	\$ 48.00		
GAIALYTE 2 liters	\$ 8.50			<i>Individual components sold separately—call for prices</i>			
	\$ 15.00			GULF WAR SYNDROME "Starter Kit"	\$260.00		
KOMBUCHA TEA BREEZE 1 liter	\$ 3.50						
	\$ 6.00			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND 2 oz.	\$ 6.00		
KOMBUCHA TEA VINEGAR 16 oz.	\$ 6.00			NICOTINE__ CAFFEINE__ ALCOHOL__	each		
CARBRAGAIA (FIBRINO-CARTILAGE) 8 oz.	\$ 8.50			SUCROSE__ STARCH__			
MELLOREAM BEVERAGE POWDER 3.25 lb	\$15.00			GAIASORB NEUTRA-BOND TRAVEL PACK	\$ 15.00		
"3 IN 1" GRAPE SEED EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$18.00			<del>*HITACHI (HB101) BREAD MACHINE</del>	No Longer Available		
"4 IN 1" WILD YAM EXTRACT 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			(FACTORY BLEMISHED/REFURBISHED)			
A-C-E Anti-Oxidant Formula 180 TABLETS	\$24.95			*GAIASPELT (Whole Wheat & Spelt) BREAD MIX	\$ 3.50		
CHLORELLA 300 TABLETS/500mg. EA.	\$ 21.00						
ECHINACEA GOLD PLUS 90 TABLETS	\$24.50			* GAIASPELT FLOUR 2 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 2.50		
GAIATRIM — 30 Day Supply	\$35.00				\$ 5.00		
GINKGO BILOBA (24% Extract) 180 TABLETS	\$24.95				\$ 10.00		
OLIVE LEAF 60 TABLETS	\$24.00			*GAIASPELT KERNELS 4 lbs. @ \$1.25/lb.	\$ 5.00		
<b>OLIVE LEAF EXTRACT</b> 35 PG. \$ 2.75 by James R. Privitera, M.D. BOOKLET S&H included					\$ 12.50		
RARE EARTH CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			*PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGE	\$130.00		
POSLIN CAPSULES 60 CAPSULES	\$ 6.00			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.)			
ALOE PLUS 77 60 CAPSULES/450mg. EA.	\$16.95			1 Bottle AquaGaia (1 qt.)			
ALOE FREEZE DRIED CAPS 90 CAPSULES	\$30.00			2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each)			
NONI 60 CAPSULES	\$22.00			4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
MEGA-MULTI VITAMINS 30 CAPSULES	\$11.00			5 Audio-cassettes			
ALOE JUICE Whole Leaf Aloe Vera Concentrate (10X STRENGTH) 1 liter	\$18.00			* MAINTENANCE PACKAGE	\$ 80.00		
SUPER OXY (CHERRY-BERRY) (CRANBERRY-APPLE) 1 quart	\$18.00			1 Bottle Gaiandriana (1 qt.)			
BODY BOOSTER 32 oz.	\$20.00			2 Bottles GaiaLyte (2 liters each)			
LIQUID LIFE 32 oz.	\$22.00			4 Pkgs. Spelt Bread Mix			
GAIAGLO LOTION 4 oz.	\$20.00			* MiCROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS	\$1100.00		
HORSETAIL TINCTURE 2 oz.	\$ 8.00			ALKALINE/ACIDIC WATER SYSTEM			
GAIA VITE Colloidal Multi-Vitamin & Mineral 2 oz.	\$10.00			VORTEX KIT	\$ 8.00		
GAIA COL Colloidal Silver with trace minerals & Trace Gold 2 oz.	\$10.00			ADZUKI BEANS 50-LB BAG	\$ 50.00		
	\$56.00			<del>RED LENTILS 50-LB BAG</del>	No Longer Available		
	\$96.00						
GAIA GOLD Colloidal Gold 2 oz.	\$20.00						
	\$112.00						
	\$192.00						
GAIA DHEA Colloidal Dehydroepiandrosterone 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIA CU-29 Colloidal Copper 2 oz.	\$10.00						
GAIA TI-22 Colloidal Titanium 2 oz.	\$20.00						
GAIALIFE COLLOIDAL MINERALS 121++ 2 oz.	\$10.00						

PLEASE USE THE SHIPPING RATE CHART (located on the top of this order form) WHEN CALCULATING SHIPPING FOR ALL New Gaia Products.

\* FOR PROGRAM STARTING PACKAGES and MAINTENANCE PACKAGES, BREAD PRODUCTS, MICROWATER™ ELECTROLYSIS, BEANS AND LENTILS PLEASE CALL FOR SHIPPING RATES.

PLEASE ALLOW 3 TO 6 WEEKS FOR DELIVERY.

Please make all checks and money orders payable to:

**New Gaia Products**  
 P.O. Box 27710  
 Las Vegas, NV 89126

<b>TOTAL</b>	
SHIPPING & HANDLING	
SUB TOTAL	
SALES TAX	Nevada Residents only: add 7%
<b>TOTAL ENCLOSED</b>	

# PHOENIX JOURNALS LIST

THESE WORKS ARE A SERIES CALLED THE *Phoenix Journals* AND HAVE BEEN WRITTEN TO ASSIST MAN TO BECOME AWARE OF LONG-STANDING DECEPTIONS AND OTHER MATTERS CRITICAL TO HIS SURVIVAL AS A SPECIES AT THIS TIME. **SINGLE Journals** ARE \$6.00; ANY **4 Journals** ARE \$5.50 EACH; **10 OR MORE Journals** ARE \$5.00 EACH (Shipping extra—see right.)

1. SIPAPU ODYSSEY
2. AND THEY CALLED HIS NAME IMMANUEL....
3. SPACE-GATE, THE VEIL REMOVED
4. SPIRAL TO ECONOMIC DISASTER
5. FROM HERE TO ARMAGEDDON
7. THE RAINBOW MASTERS
9. SATAN'S DRUMMERS
10. PRIVACY IN A FISHBOWL
11. CRY OF THE PHOENIX
21. CREATION, THE SACRED UNIVERSE
38. THE DARK CHARADE
39. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. I
40. THE TRILLION DOLLAR LIE...VOL. II
41. THE DESTRUCTION OF A PLANET—ZIONISM IS RACISM
42. UNHOLY ALLIANCE
43. TANGLED WEBS VOL. I
44. TANGLED WEBS VOL. II
45. TANGLED WEBS VOL. III
46. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IV
48. TANGLED WEBS VOL. V
49. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VI
50. THE DIVINE PLAN VOL. I
51. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VII
52. TANGLED WEBS VOL. VIII
53. TANGLED WEBS VOL. IX
54. THE FUNNEL'S NECK
55. MARCHING TO ZION
56. SEX AND THE LOTTERY
57. GOD, TOO, HAS A PLAN 2000—DIVINE PLAN VOL. II
58. FROM THE FRYING PAN INTO THE PIT OF FIRE
59. "REALITY" ALSO HAS A DRUM-BEAT!
60. AS THE BLOSSOM OPENS
61. PUPPY-DOG TALES
62. CHAPARRAL SERENDIPITY
63. THE BEST OF TIMES
64. TO ALL MY CHILDREN
65. THE LAST GREAT PLAGUE
66. ULTIMATE PSYCHOPOLITICS
67. THE BEAST AT WORK
68. ECSTASY TO AGONY
69. TATTERED PAGES
70. NO THORNLESS ROSES
71. COALESCENCE
72. CANDLELIGHT
73. RELATIVE CONNECTIONS VOL. I
74. MYSTERIES OF RADIANCE UNFOLDED VOL. II
75. TRUTH AND CONSEQUENCES VOL. III
76. SORTING THE PIECES VOL. IV
77. PLAYERS IN THE GAME
78. IRON TRAP AROUND AMERICA
79. MARCHING TO ZOG
80. TRUTH FROM THE ZOG BOG
81. RUSSIAN ROULETTE
82. RETIREMENT RETREATS
83. POLITICAL PSYCHOS
84. CHANGING PERSPECTIVES
85. SHOCK THERAPY
86. MISSING THE LIFEBOAT??
87. IN GOD'S NAME AWAKEN!
88. THE ADVANCED DEMOLITION LEGION
89. FOCUS OF DEMONS
90. TAKING OFF THE BLINDFOLD
91. FOOTSTEPS INTO TRUTH
92. WALK A CROOKED ROAD WITH THE CROOKS
93. CRIMINAL POLITBUROS AND OTHER PLAGUES
94. WINGING IT....
95. HEAVE-UP (Phase One)
96. HEAVE-HO (Phase Two)
97. HEAVE 'EM OUT (Phase Three)
98. ASCENSION OR NEVER-EVER LAND?
99. USURPERS OF FREEDOM IN CONSPIRACY
100. BUTTERFLIES, MIND CONTROL—THE RAZOR'S EDGE
101. THE BREATHING DEAD AND CEMENT CHILDREN
102. SACRED WISDOM
103. CONFRONT THE NOW CREATE THE FUTURE
104. FIRST STEPS
105. AMERICA IN PERIL—AN UNDERSTATEMENT!
107. RING AROUND THE ROSIE...!
130. TRACKING DOWN THE KILLER  
"AND OTHER FORMS OF MURDER" (*The Health Book*)
222. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 1;
223. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 2;
224. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 3;
225. BIRTHING THE PHOENIX VOL. 4
227. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 1;
228. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 2;
229. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 3;
230. RISE OF ANTICHRIST VOL. 4

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT *JOURNALS*, BOOKS, ETC., MENTIONED IN THIS NEWSPAPER, PLEASE INQUIRE:

**PHOENIX SOURCE DISTRIBUTORS, Inc.**  
P.O. Box 27353  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89126

(or call)  
**1-800-800-5565**  
(Mastercard, VISA, Discover)

## Phoenix Source Distributors SHIPPING CHARGES:

**USA (except Alaska & Hawaii)**  
UPS-\$3.75 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l

**ALASKA & HAWAII**  
Bookrate-\$2.50 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
Priority-\$3.40 1st title, \$1.00 ea add'l  
UPS 2nd day-\$9.00 1st title, \$1 ea add'l


**CANADA & MEXICO**  
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l  
Airbook-\$4.50 1st title, \$2.00 ea add'l

**FOREIGN**  
Surface-\$3.00 1st title, \$1.50 ea add'l  
Airbook-\$8.00 per title estimate

(Please allow 3-4 weeks for delivery on all book orders)

**PLEASE NOTE:**

**CONTACT and Phoenix Source Distributors are NOT the same! Checks sent for JOURNALS or book orders should NOT be made out to CONTACT—and vice versa.**



### Editorial Policy

Opinions of the *CONTACT* contributors are their own and do not necessarily reflect those of the *CONTACT* staff or management.

## CONTACT: THE PHOENIX EDUCATOR

is published by  
**CONTACT, Inc.**  
P.O. Box 27800  
Las Vegas, NV 89126  
Phone: (800) 800-5565

### SUBSCRIPTION RATES

Subscription orders may be placed by mail to the above address or by phone to 1-800-800-5565. Subscribers: Expiration date appears on upper left side of mailing label.

Quantity Subscriptions: U.S. For Foreign subscriptions call or write for shipping charges.

#### SINGLE SUBSCRIPTIONS QUANTITY SUBSCRIPTIONS

Qty OF ISSUES	U.S.	U.S. w/ENVELOPE	CAN/	FOREIGN	Qty OF ISSUES	10 COPIES	25 COPIES	50 COPIES	100 COPIES
13 ISSUES	\$30	\$40	\$40	\$45	13 ISSUES	\$95	\$125	\$160	\$275
26 ISSUES	\$60	\$80	\$80	\$90	26 ISSUES	\$190	\$250	\$320	\$550
52 ISSUES	\$110	\$150	\$150	\$170	52 ISSUES	\$380	\$500	\$640	\$1,100

### BACK ISSUE RATES

Miscellaneous copies of individual back issues are \$3.00 each copy

Shipping is included in the price for U.S. orders

Foreign please call or write for additional shipping charges

## TELEPHONE HOTLINE 661-822-0202

As an adjunct to *CONTACT*, the Telephone Hotline keeps you as informed as possible on current events and other important information that needs to get to our subscribers before our publishing date.

The message machine will answer after 2 rings if there are any new messages for that day, and after 4 rings if not. Thus *daily* callers can hang up after 2 rings and save toll charges if no new message has been recorded. If the Hotline does not answer your call, then there is currently no Hotline message.